

# LOUISIANA STATE POLICE FIVE-YEAR STRATEGIC PLAN FY 2026-2027 THROUGH FY 2030-2031

# Table of Contents

Agency Vision, Mission, and Values	3
Agency Goals	4
Program A: Traffic Enforcement Program	5
Performance Indicator Documentation (Traffic Enforcement)	9
Program B: Criminal Investigations Program	
Performance Indicator Documentation (Criminal Investigations)	42
Program C: Operational Support Program	49
Performance Indicator Documentation (Operational Support)	59
Program D: Gaming Enforcement Program	107
Performance Indicator Documentation (Gaming Enforcement)	112
Appendix	128

# OFFICE OF LOUISIANA STATE POLICE FIVE-YEAR STRATEGIC PLAN FY 2026-2027 THROUGH FY 2030-2031

#### AGENCY VISION

The Louisiana State Police will be a model of an exemplary law enforcement organization providing service to the public, the law enforcement community, and allied agencies through impartial enforcement of the laws. Our highly skilled workforce will serve as a model of forward-thinking leadership and professionalism.

#### AGENCY MISSION

The Louisiana State Police is a statutorily mandated, statewide law enforcement agency charged with ensuring the safety, order, and security of the people in the state through enforcement, regulation, education, and provision of other essential public safety services.

#### VALUES/PHILOSOPHY

The values/philosophy of the Louisiana State Police can be summed up by the acronym for leadership.

#### LEADERSHIP

- **Loyalty** Bear true faith and allegiance to the U.S. Constitution, the State of Louisiana, and the communities you serve.
- Equity Serve with fairness, impartiality, compassion, and empathy for all.
- Accountability Maintain public trust with transparency and honesty.
- **Duty –** Fulfill your obligations.
- Excellence Deliver the highest quality of service through innovation and discipline.
- **Respect** Treat people as they should be treated.
- Selfless Service Put the welfare of others before your own.
- Honor Live up to all the State Police core values.
- **Integrity** Do what is right legally and morally.
- Personal Courage Face fear, danger, or adversity (physical or moral).

# AGENCY GOALS

- 1. Promote public safety in our state through aggressive traffic enforcement, criminal investigation, administrative regulation, public education, and community involvement.
- 2. Ensure the department is adequately staffed, equipped, and trained to accomplish its mission.
- 3. Reduce duplication of effort, enhance interoperability, and promote communication among federal, state, and local governments, including the areas of homeland security and emergency response.
- 4. Improve and strengthen workforce effectiveness through planning, forecasting, training, coordination, and accountability.

# Program A: Traffic Enforcement Program

# MISSION

The Louisiana State Police Patrol Division is dedicated to improving public safety through public education, training, and enforcement of statutes and regulations. The Program ensures compliance with commercial and private motor vehicle laws and regulations.

# GOAL I

I. Ensure safety on Louisiana's highways through proactive patrol and the enforcement of statutes and regulations.

# **OBJECTIVE I.1**

I.1 Reduce the number of traffic fatalities by 2% by June 30, 2031.

#### STRATEGIES

I.1.1 Establish preventative patrols to deal with specific categories of unlawful driving behaviors.

I.1.2 Continue utilizing the data collected through LSP's computer-aided dispatch system to compile annual collision experience data statewide to determine personnel assignment.

I.1.3 Implement assignment of personnel at the time when and to the locations where analyses have shown that a significant number of violations and/or collisions involving impaired drivers have occurred.

I.1.4 Work in conjunction with the Department of Transportation and Development (DOTD) to maximize the capabilities of the Traffic Incident Management System.

I.1.5 Continue selective DWI checkpoints and enforcement.

I.1.6 Continue selective seatbelt checkpoints and enforcement.

I.1.7 Implement an effective statewide Drug Recognition Expert (DRE) Program.

# **PERFORMANCE INDICATORS**

Percentage of State Police Manpower Allocation Study coverage level implemented Current State Trooper patrol strength Required State Trooper patrol strength per manpower study Total number of public assists Number of fatal crashes investigated, Total number of crashes investigated Number of crashes resulting in arrests Total number of occupant protection violations cited (seatbelt and child restraint) Total number of persons arrested for DWI Total number of contacts: crashes, tickets, and motorist assists Total number of contacts per Trooper: crashes, tickets, and motorist assists Number of criminal arrests Number of injury crashes investigated Number of property damage crashes investigated Number of individuals killed in automobile crashes Number of individuals injured in automobile crashes

# GOAL II

II. Make Louisiana roads safer by enforcing the laws and regulations governing commercial motor carriers, commercial motor vehicles, and the drivers who operate them. In addition, continue partnering with the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA) to work towards compliance with an effective roadside inspection program, aggressive traffic enforcement, and public education.

#### **OBJECTIVE II.I**

II.I Reduce the number of fatal commercial motor vehicle-related crashes by 2% by June 30, 2031.

#### STRATEGIES

II.1.1 Conduct patrols of high-traffic corridors, construction zones, and other reduced speed zones, specifically targeting aggressive driving, tailgaters, speeders, and other violations.

II.1.2 Conduct nighttime and off-hour patrols with enforcement emphasis on human trafficking, drug trafficking, and other criminal-related activities in addition to the removal of fatigued, impaired, or drugged drivers. II.1.3 Conduct new entrant safety audits and compliance reviews on commercial motor carriers.

II.1.4 Continue educational programs and forums for the commercial motor carrier industry regarding issues that affect driver and vehicle safety.

# PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Number of fatal commercial-related crashes Number of motor carrier safety inspections conducted Number of new entrant safety audits conducted Number of compliance reviews conducted Number of drivers placed out-of-service Number of off-peak inspections conducted Number of Motor Carrier Safety violations cited Annual percent reduction in fatal motor vehicle crashes

#### **OBJECTIVE II.2**

II.2 Provide a viable statewide weight enforcement program to aid in the preservation and maintenance of the infrastructure of federal and state highways, annually.

# STRATEGY

II.2.1 Conduct patrols of state and federal highways with enforcement emphasis on overweight commercial vehicles.

#### PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Number of commercial vehicles checked for overweight violations - mobile Number of overweight violations cited – mobile Percentage of commercial vehicles issued overweight violations - mobile Number of manpower hours dedicated to weight enforcement - mobile Number of permit violations cited - mobile

**Program:** *Traffic Enforcement* **Objective:** *I.1 Reduce the number of traffic fatalities by 2% by June 30, 2031.* **Indicator Name:** *Percentage of State Police Manpower Allocation Study coverage level implemented* **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** 13772

- 1. Type and Level: Outcome; Key
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Measures the total number of highway miles patrolled by troopers per the State Police Manpower Allocation Study 2007 formulas.
- 3. Use: Assists in determining additional funding, equipment, and T.O. needs.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection, and Reporting:

**Source:** Office of State Police Human Resources, Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD). **Collection:** On-demand & daily, respectively. **Reporting:** Fiscal year & quarterly, respectively.

- **6. Calculation Methodology**: Calculation: Numeric tally; Methodology: Standard calculation.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate.
- **8.** Caveats: Due to an unprecedented rate of attrition at LSP, an attainable goal during this five-year period is 75% of the State Police Manpower Allocation Study coverage level implemented.
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, and Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

#### **10. Responsible Person:**

Program: Traffic Enforcement
 Objective: I.1 Reduce the number of traffic fatalities by 2% by June 30, 2031.
 Indicator Name: Current State Trooper patrol strength
 Indicator LaGov PI Code: 13773

# **1. Type and Level:** Input; Key

- 2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability: Measures the number of troop traffic enforcement personnel.
- **3. Use:** With the use of the Manpower Allocation Study, it will determine whether or not needed Table of Organization has been funded and implemented.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.

# 5. Data Source, Collection, and Reporting:

**Source**: Office of State Police Human Resources. **Collection:** Upon demand. **Reporting:** Quarterly.

- **6. Calculation Methodology**: Calculation: Numeric tally; Methodology: Standard calculation.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate.
- **8. Caveats**: Due to an unprecedented rate of attrition at LSP, an attainable goal during this five-year period is 75% of current state trooper patrol strength (goal of 702 patrol troopers) during this five-year period.
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, and Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

#### 10. Responsible Person:

**Program:** *Traffic Enforcement* **Objective:** *I.1 Reduce the number of traffic fatalities by 2% by June 30, 2031.* **Indicator Name:** *Required State Trooper patrol strength per manpower study* **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** 13774

- 1. Type and Level: Input: Key
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Measures the number of troop traffic enforcement personnel needed statewide to efficiently perform the assigned mission.
- 3. Use: To determine the T.O. and funding necessary to perform the mandated mission.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection, and Reporting:

**Source:** State Police Manpower Allocation 2007. **Collection:** Upon demand. **Reporting:** Quarterly.

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: Calculation: As per formulas noted in the Personnel Allocation Model by Northwestern University; Methodology: Formulas utilizing variables for miles of roadway, number of calls for assistance, etc.
- 7. Scope: Disaggregate.
- 8. Caveats: Whether or not the Personnel Allocation Model variables were accurate and/or still applicable today. The 2007 Manpower Allocation report is 15 years old. With updated technology, LSP can begin collecting more relevant and accurate data for a new study considering more relevant variables. Due to unprecedented attrition, LSP's goal should be 75% of this number for this five-year period.
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, and Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

#### **10. Responsible Person:**

**Program:** Traffic Enforcement **Objective:** I.1 Reduce the number of traffic fatalities by 2% by June 30, 2031. **Indicator Name:** Total number of public assists

Indicator LaGov PI Code: 13775

- 1. Type and Level: Output; Supporting
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Measures total number of public assists by troop traffic enforcement personnel.
- 3. Use: To determine the increase or decrease in troop personnel activity and efficiency.
- 4. Clarity: Public assists Assistance rendered to disabled or stranded motorists.
- 5. Data Source, Collection, and Reporting:

**Source:** Mark43 Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD) **Collection:** Daily. **Reporting:** Semi-annually.

- 6. Calculation Methodology: Calculation: Numeric tally; Methodology: Standard calculation.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate.
- **8.** Caveats: Accuracy of troop personnel information input and ability of Mark43 CAD to categorize public assists.
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, and Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

#### **10. Responsible Person:**

**Program:** *Traffic Enforcement* **Objective**: *I.1 Reduce the number of traffic fatalities by 2% by June 30, 2031*. **Indicator Name:** *Number of fatal crashes investigated* **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** 1887

- 1. Type and Level: Output; Supporting
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Measures total number of fatal crashes investigated by troop traffic enforcement personnel.
- 3. Use: To determine the increase or decrease in troop personnel activity and efficiency.
- 4. Clarity: Fatal crash Vehicle crash resulting in one or more deaths.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD). **Collection:** Daily. **Reporting:** Semi-annually.

- 6. Calculation Methodology: Calculation: Numeric tally; Methodology: Standard calculation
- 7. Scope: Aggregate.
- 8. Caveats: Automatically recorded within Mark43 CAD and Records Management System (RMS). LSP must ensure CAD/RMS does not duplicate any fatal crashes due to multiple officers reporting to the scene.
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, and Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

#### **10. Responsible Person:**

**Program:** *Traffic Enforcement* **Objective:** *I.1 Reduce the number of traffic fatalities by 2% by June 30, 2031.* **Indicator Name:** *Total number of crashes investigated* **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** 1886

- 1. Type and Level: Output; Supporting
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Measures total number of property damage, injury, and fatal crashes investigated by troop traffic enforcement personnel.
- 3. Use: To determine the increase or decrease in troop personnel activity and efficiency.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD). **Collection:** Daily. **Reporting:** Semi-annually.

- **6. Calculation Methodology**: Calculation: Numeric tally; Methodology: Standard calculation.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate.
- 8. Caveats: Automatically recorded within Mark43 CAD and Records Management System (RMS). LSP must ensure CAD/RMS does not duplicate crashes due to multiple officers on the scene.
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, and Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

#### **10. Responsible Person:**

**Program:** Traffic Enforcement **Objective:** I.1 Reduce the number of traffic fatalities by 2% by June 30, 2031. **Indicator Name:** Number of crashes resulting in arrests

Indicator LaGov PI Code: 1890

- 1. Type and Level: Output; Supporting
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Measures total number of crashes that result in the issuance of a citation by troop traffic enforcement personnel.
- 3. Use: To determine the increase or decrease in troop personnel activity and efficiency.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD). **Collection:** Daily. **Reporting**: Semi-annually.

- **6. Calculation Methodology**: Calculation: Numeric tally; Methodology: Standard calculation.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate.
- **8. Caveats**: Automatically recorded within Mark43 CAD/RMS. LSP must ensure CAD/RMS can automatically identify and calculate crashes resulting in arrest without duplication due to multiple officers on scene.
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, and Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

#### **10. Responsible Person:**

Program: Traffic Enforcement
Objective: I.1 Reduce the number of traffic fatalities by 2% by June 30, 2031.
Indicator Name: Total number of occupant protection violations cited (seatbelt and child restraint)
Indicator LaGov PI Code: 4191001

- 1. Type and Level: Output; Supporting
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Measures total number of seatbelt and/or child restraint violations cited by troop traffic enforcement personnel.
- 3. Use: To determine increase or decrease in troop personnel activity and efficiency.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD). **Collection:** Daily. **Reporting**: Semi-annually.

- **6. Calculation Methodology**: Calculation: Numeric tally; Methodology: Standard calculation.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate.
- 8. Caveats: Accuracy of troop personnel information input into CAD.
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, and Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

#### **10. Responsible Person:**

**Program:** *Traffic Enforcement* **Objective:** *I.1 Reduce the number of traffic fatalities by 2% by June 30, 2031.* **Indicator Name:** *Total number of persons arrested for DWI* **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** 4191004

- 1. Type and Level: Output; Supporting
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Measures total number of persons arrested for Driving While Impaired/Intoxicated (DWI) by troop traffic enforcement personnel.
- 3. Use: To determine increase or decrease in troop personnel activity and efficiency.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD). **Collection:** Daily. **Reporting**: Semi-annually.

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: Calculation: Numeric tally; Methodology: Standard calculation.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate.
- 8. Caveats: Accuracy of troop personnel information input into CAD.
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, and Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.
- **10. Responsible Person:**

**Program:** *Traffic Enforcement* **Objective:** *I.1 Reduce the number of traffic fatalities by 2% by June 30, 2031.* **Indicator Name:** *Total number of contacts: crashes, tickets, and motorist assists* **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** *1885* 

- 1. Type and Level: Efficiency; General
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Measures total number of contacts made to include crashes, tickets, and motorist assists.
- 3. Use: To determine increase or decrease in troop personnel activity and efficiency.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source**: Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD). **Collection:** Daily. **Reporting:** Annually.

- **6. Calculation Methodology**: Calculation: Numeric tally; Methodology: Standard calculation. Automatic Calculation by CAD.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate.
- 8. Caveats: Accuracy of information provided by Troops into CAD.
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, and Support: Electronic databases may fail, causing an interruption of data collection, but this is very unlikely.

#### **10. Responsible Person:**

**Program:** Traffic Enforcement **Objective:** I.1 Reduce the number of traffic fatalities by 2% by June 30, 2031. **Indicator Name:** Total number of contacts per trooper: Crashes, Tickets, and Motorists Assists **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** New

- 1. Type and Level: Output; General
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Measures total number of contacts made per Trooper to include crashes, tickets, and motorist assists.
- 3. Use: To determine increase or decrease in troop personnel activity and efficiency.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source**: Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD). **Collection:** Daily. **Reporting:** Annually.

- **6. Calculation Methodology**: Calculation: Numeric tally; Methodology: Standard calculation. Automatic Calculation by CAD.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate.
- 8. Caveats: Accuracy of information provided by Troops into CAD.
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, and Support: Electronic databases may fail, causing an interruption of data collection, but this is very unlikely.

#### **10. Responsible Person:**

**Program:** Traffic Enforcement **Objective:** I.1 Reduce the number of traffic fatalities by 2% by June 30, 2031. **Indicator Name:** Number of criminal arrests **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** 1880

- 1. Type and Level: Output; Supporting
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Measures total number of criminal arrests made by troop traffic enforcement personnel.
- 3. Use: To determine increase or decrease in troop personnel activity and efficiency.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD). **Collection:** Daily. **Reporting:** Semi-annually.

- 6. Calculation Methodology: Automatic Calculation by CAD/RMS.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate.
- 8. Caveats: Accuracy of information provided by Troops into CAD/RMS.
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, and Support: Electronic databases may fail, causing an interruption of data collection, but this is very unlikely.

#### **10. Responsible Person:**

**Program:** Traffic Enforcement **Objective:** I.1 Reduce the number of traffic fatalities by 2% by June 30, 2031. **Indicator Name:** Number of injury crashes investigated **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** 1888

- 1. Type and Level: Output; Supporting
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Measures total number of injury crashes investigated by troop commissioned personnel.
- 3. Use: To determine increase or decrease in troop personnel activity and efficiency.
- 4. Clarity: Injury Crashes Crashes in which bodily injury occurs.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD). **Collection:** Daily. **Reporting:** Semi-annually.

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: Calculation: Numeric tally; Methodology: Standard calculation. Automatic Calculation by CAD/RMS.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate.
- 8. Caveats: Accuracy of troop personnel information input.
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, and Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.
- 10. Responsible Person:

**Program:** *Traffic Enforcement* **Objective:** *I.1 Reduce the number of traffic fatalities by 2% by June 30, 2031.* **Indicator Name:** *Number of property damage crashes investigated* **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** *1889* 

- 1. Type and Level: Output; General
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Measures total number of property damage crashes investigated by troop enforcement personnel.
- 3. Use: To determine increase in trooper personnel activity and efficiency.
- 4. Clarity: Property damage crashes Vehicle crash involving no injury to any party.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD). **Collection:** Daily. **Reporting:** Annually.

- 6. Calculation Methodology: Calculation: Numeric tally; Methodology: Standard calculation. Automatically calculated by CAD/RMS.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate.
- 8. Caveats: Accuracy of troop personnel information input into CAD.
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, and Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

#### **10. Responsible Person:**

**Program:** Traffic Enforcement **Objective:** I.1 Reduce the number of traffic fatalities by 2% by June 30, 2031. **Indicator Name:** Number of individuals killed in automobile crashes **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** 1891

- **1. Type and Level:** Input; General
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Measures total number of individuals killed in crashes investigated by troopers.
- **3.** Use: To determine effectiveness and efficiency of troopers' efforts to impact number of individuals killed in crashes. To determine increase or decrease in troop traffic enforcement personnel court appearances and further T.O. and funding needs.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD). **Collection:** Daily. **Reporting:** Annually.

- **6. Calculation Methodology**: Calculation: Numeric tally; Methodology: Standard calculation.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate.
- 8. Caveats: Accuracy of troop personnel information input into CAD.
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, and Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.
- **10. Responsible Person:**

**Program:** *Traffic Enforcement* **Objective:** *I.1 Reduce the number of traffic fatalities by 2% by June 30, 2031.* **Indicator Name:** *Number of individuals injured in automobile crashes* **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** 1892

- **1. Type and Level:** Input; General
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Measures total number of individuals injured in crashes investigated by troopers.
- **3.** Use: To determine the effectiveness and efficiency of troopers' efforts to impact number of individuals injured in crashes.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Troop Daily Activity Report (CAD). **Collection:** Daily. **Reporting:** Annually.

- **6. Calculation Methodology**: Calculation: Numeric tally Methodology: Standard calculation.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate.
- 8. Caveats: Accuracy of troop personnel information input into CAD.
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, and Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

#### 10. Responsible Person:

**Program:** *Traffic Enforcement* **Objective:** *II.1 Reduce the number of fatal commercial motor vehicle-related crashes by 2% by June 30, 2031.* 

Indicator Name: Number of fatal commercial-related crashes Indicator LaGov PI Code: 10758

- 1. Type and Level: Output; Key
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** The core mission of MCSAP is the reduction of fatal and serious crashes involving commercial motor vehicles through an aggressive enforcement campaign involving roadside inspections of drivers and vehicles.
- **3.** Use: The indicator is the key component of determining the successes of MCSAP and will be used to analyze the effectiveness of patrol tactics and strategies and detection of shifts in the amounts of commercial vehicle traffic traveling Louisiana roads.
- **4. Clarity:** Commercial Motor Vehicle (CMV): Trucks and trailers used for transportation of goods, services, or people as defined by federal regulation and state statute.

# 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

Source: Department of Public Safety and Corrections, Louisiana Highway Safety Commission, and Louisiana State University. Collection: Weekly. Reporting: Quarterly.

- **6. Calculation Methodology**: Calculation: Standard calculation as to the number reported; Methodology: Addition.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate.
- 8. Caveats: Willingness of reporting agencies to supply data, accuracy of information submitted, and the raw number of CMV crashes is not being compared to miles driven. The number is static and not reflective of changes in environment and demographics, and lastly, socio-economic activities.
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, and Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

#### **10. Responsible Person:**

**Program:** *Traffic Enforcement* **Objective:** II.1 *Reduce the number of fatal commercial motor vehicle-related crashes by 2% by June* 30, 2031.

**Indicator Name:** *Number of motor carrier safety inspections conducted* **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** 1894

- 1. Type and Level: Efficiency; Key
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Roadside safety inspections of commercial vehicles have a profound effect on promoting voluntary compliance to Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations. Serious safety defects require immediate remedy before such vehicles may lawfully proceed to their destinations.
- **3. Use:** Greater number of inspections conducted has a positive effect on increasing a safe environment for the motoring public.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

Source: Internal database. Collection: Quarterly. Reporting: Quarterly.

- 6. Calculation Methodology: Calculation: Simple addition Methodology: N/A
- 7. Scope: Aggregate.
- 8. Caveats: None.
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, and Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.
- **10. Responsible Person:**

**Program:** *Traffic Enforcement* **Objective:** II.1 *Reduce the number of fatal commercial motor vehicle-related crashes by 2% by June* 30, 2031.

**Indicator Name:** *Number of new entrant safety audits conducted* **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** 25467

- **1. Type and Level:** Input; Key
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** This program is mandated by the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration; it requires that all new Louisiana-based carriers receive a safety rating within eighteen months of receiving their operating authorities.
- **3. Use:** To determine by investigator audits of motor carriers, trends to formulate future enforcement strategies, and the implementation of more strategically focused campaigns based on data collected from safety audits.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.

#### 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

Source: Internal database. Collection: Quarterly. Reporting: Quarterly.

- 6. Calculation Methodology: Calculation: Simple addition; Methodology: Addition.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate.
- **8. Caveats**: Effectiveness of this performance indicator will be based upon the number of qualified auditors.
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, and Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

#### **10. Responsible Person:**

**Program:** *Traffic Enforcement* **Objective:** II.1 *Reduce the number of fatal commercial motor vehicle-related crashes by 2% by June* 30, 2031.

**Indicator Name:** *Number of compliance reviews conducted* **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** 20798

- 1. Type and Level: Input; Key
- 2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability: Compliance Review Audits are an important and necessary tool to assess the safety posture of motor carriers. Inspection, crash, and other data used in these audits help identify at-risk carriers and provide a medium to institute corrective measures to minimize recurrences. Compliance Reviews are extremely effective in identifying problem areas in which motor carriers experience difficulties in maintaining compliance.
- **3. Use:** To determine by investigator audits of motor carriers, trends to formulate future enforcement strategies, and the implementation of more strategically focused campaigns based on data collected from safety audits.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Internal database. **Collection:** Quarterly. **Reporting:** Quarterly.

- 6. Calculation Methodology: Calculation: Simple addition; Methodology: Addition.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate.
- **8.** Caveats: Effectiveness of this performance indicator will be based upon the number of qualified auditors.
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, and Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

#### **10. Responsible Person:**

**Program:** *Traffic Enforcement* **Objective:** II.1 *Reduce the number of fatal commercial motor vehicle-related crashes by* <u>2%</u> *by June* 30, 2031.

**Indicator Name:** *Number of drivers placed out-of-service* **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** 4191002

- 1. Type and Level: Input; Supporting
- 2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability: Roadside Motor Carrier Safety Inspections are an important and necessary tool to gauge the safety posture of motor carriers, drivers, and commercial motor vehicles traveling within or through Louisiana. Each roadside inspection includes an evaluation of the driver. The driver may be placed out-of-service for a number of dangerous circumstances to include, but not limited to: driver impairment, driver fatigue, improper class of commercial driver's license, false record of duty status (e.g. concealing hours), and hours of service violations. Identifying drivers who have committed serious safety violations and placing them out-of-service serves to reduce the number of fatal commercial motor vehicle crashes in Louisiana and neighboring states.
- **3. Use:** To remove dangerous commercial motor vehicle drivers from Louisiana roadways until they are in compliance with federal motor carrier safety laws.
- **4. Clarity:** A driver placed out-of-service cannot proceed on their trip in commerce or drive any commercial motor vehicle until they have met the requirements of federal motor carrier safety laws (e.g. required rest period, proper driver's license attained, etc.).
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

Source: Internal database. Collection: Daily. Reporting: Semi-annually

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: The number of drivers placed out-of-service as reported by officers.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate.
- **8. Caveats**: Effectiveness of this performance indicator will be based number of qualified inspectors available each day.
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, and Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

#### **10. Responsible Person:**

**Program:** *Traffic Enforcement* **Objective:** II.1 *Reduce the number of fatal commercial motor vehicle-related crashes by* 2% *by June* 30, 2031.

**Indicator Name:** *Number of off-peak inspections conducted* **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** 4191003

- 1. Type and Level: Input; Supporting
- 2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability: Roadside Motor Carrier Safety Inspections are an important and necessary tool to assess the safety posture of motor carriers, drivers, and commercial motor vehicles. Each roadside Motor Carrier Safety Inspection includes an inspection of the driver, the vehicle, and the cargo. Off-peak inspections include all inspections conducted between the hours of 6:00 PM and 6:00 AM on weekdays and any inspections performed during the weekends or holidays. Off-peak inspections are important to ensure that commercial motor vehicles and drivers are being adequately inspected outside of regular business hours.
- **3. Use:** To determine an increase or decrease in motor carrier safety inspectors and measure efficiency of the LSP Motor Carrier Safety Program.
- **4. Clarity:** Off-peak motor carrier inspections include all roadside inspections that take place between the hours of 6:00 PM and 6:00 AM on weekdays and any inspections performed during the weekends or holidays. These inspections are mostly performed as a means of overtime.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

Source: Mark43 CAD. Collection: Daily. Reporting: Semi-annually

- **6. Calculation Methodology**: The number of off-peak inspections as recorded within the computer-aided dispatch system.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate.
- **8.** Caveats: Effectiveness of this performance indicator will be based upon the number of qualified inspectors.
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, and Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

# **10. Responsible Person:**

**Program:** *Traffic Enforcement* **Objective:** *II.1 Reduce the number of fatal commercial motor vehicle-related crashes by 2% by June 30, 2031.* 

Indicator Name: *Number of Motor Carrier Safety violations cited* Indicator LaGov PI Code: 1895

- 1. Type and Level: Input; General
  - **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** The performance indicator indicates the quality of inspection and the level of compliance of motor carriers and their drivers.
  - **3. Use:** Used to track, identify, and correct the regulatory deficiencies of high-risk carriers and their drivers, and assess program effectiveness.
  - 4. Clarity: Includes all safety violations cited by Motor Carrier Safety Inspectors.

# 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

Source: Internal database. Collection: Daily. Reporting: Annually.

- **6. Calculation Methodology**: Calculation: Simple addition; Methodology: Addition.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate.
- **8. Caveats**: Effectiveness of this performance indicator will be based upon the number of qualified inspectors.
- 9. Accuracy, Maintenance, and Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

#### **10. Responsible Person:**

**Program:** *Traffic Enforcement* **Objective:** II.1 *Reduce the number of fatal commercial motor vehicle-related crashes by* 2% *by June* 30, 2031.

**Indicator Name:** *Annual percent reduction in fatal commercial motor vehicle crashes* **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** 23525

- 1. Type and Level: Outcome; General
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** This indicator indicates the annual percent reduction in fatal commercial motor vehicle crashes. This represents the annual progress towards meeting the objective of reducing fatal commercial motor vehicle crashes.
- **3. Use:** Used to track the reduction of fatal commercial motor vehicle crashes and to ultimately assess program effectiveness.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

Source: Department of Public Safety and Corrections, Louisiana Highway Commission, and Louisiana State University. Collection: Weekly. Reporting: Annually.

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: Calculation: Standard calculation; Methodology: Addition and Division.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate.
- **8. Caveats**: Willingness of reporting agencies to supply data, accuracy of information submitted, and the raw number of CMV crashes is not being compared to miles driven. The number is static and not reflective of changes in environment and demographics, and lastly, socio-economic activities.
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, and Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

#### **10. Responsible Person:**

Program: Traffic Enforcement
Objective: II.2 Provide a viable statewide weight enforcement program to aid in the preservation and maintenance of the infrastructure of federal and state highways, annually.
Indicator Name: Number of commercial vehicles checked for overweight violations - mobile
Indicator LaGov PI Code: 13778

- 1. Type and Level: Efficiency; Key
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** This indicator will measure the total number of vehicles weighed by enforcement officers in the mobile division of the Weights and Standards Unit.
- **3. Use:** This indicator will be used to increase the unit's effectiveness through increasing officer efficiency.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Internal Database. **Collection:** Daily. **Reporting:** Quarterly.

- 6. Calculation Methodology: The number of trucks weighed as reported by officers.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate.
- 8. Caveats: This system is affected by the accuracy of submitted data.
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, and Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.
- **10. Responsible Person:**

**Program:** Traffic Enforcement **Objective:** II.2 Provide a viable statewide weight enforcement program to aid in the preservation and maintenance of the infrastructure of federal and state highways, annually. **Indicator Name:** Number of overweight violations cited **-** mobile **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** 13779

- 1. Type and Level: Efficiency; General
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** This indicator will measure the number of weight violations issued to commercial vehicle traffic.
- **3. Use:** This indicator will be used to increase the unit's effectiveness through increasing officer efficiency.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.

#### 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

Source: Internal Database. Collection: Daily. Reporting: Annually.

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: This figure is a total of the number of over gross, over axle, weight violations reported by officers on daily and monthly reports.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate.
- 8. Caveats: This system is affected by the accuracy of submitted data. This indicator will be used to identify the impact of changes in legislation pertaining to weight laws.
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, and Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

#### **10. Responsible Person:**

**Program:** *Traffic Enforcement* 

**Objective:** II.2 Provide a viable statewide weight enforcement program to aid in the preservation and maintenance of the infrastructure of federal and state highways, annually. **Indicator Name:** Percentage of commercial vehicles issued for overweight violations - mobile **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** 4191005

- **1. Type and Level:** Outcome; Key
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** This indicator will measure the percentage of CMV who have been cited for overweight violations by the mobile scale units.
- **3. Use:** This indicator will be used to increase the unit's effectiveness through increasing officer efficiency.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

Source: Internal Database. Collection: Daily. Reporting: Quarterly.

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: This figure is a percentage of the number of over gross, over axle, weight violations reported by officers on daily and monthly reports compared to total citations by the mobile scale units.
- 7. Scope: Disaggregate.
- **8.** Caveats: This system is affected by the accuracy of submitted data. This indicator will be used to identify the impact of changes in legislation pertaining to weight laws.
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, and Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

#### **10. Responsible Person:**

Program: Traffic Enforcement
Objective: II.2 Provide a viable statewide weight enforcement program to aid in the preservation and maintenance of the infrastructure of federal and state highways, annually.
Indicator Name: Number of manpower hours dedicated to weight enforcement - mobile
Indicator LaGov PI Code: 20799

- 1. Type and Level: Output; Supporting
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** This indicator will measure the number of hour's weights and standards officers in the mobile division dedicate to weight and size enforcement.
- **3. Use:** This indicator will be used to identify the number of hours available to enforce federal and state regulations.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

Source: Internal Database. Collection: Daily. Reporting: Semi-annually.

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: Hours are noted by field officers and totaled in the TARS System.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate.
- 8. Caveats: This system is affected by the accuracy of submitted data.
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, and Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.
- **10. Responsible Person**:

**Program:** Traffic Enforcement **Objective:** II.2 Provide a viable statewide weight enforcement program to aid in the preservation and maintenance of the infrastructure of federal and state highways, annually. **Indicator Name:** Number of permit violations cited - mobile **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** New

- **11. Type and Level:** Input; General
- **12. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** This indicator will measure the number of permit violations issued to commercial vehicle traffic.
- **13.** Use: This indicator will be used to increase the unit's effectiveness through increasing officer efficiency.
- 14. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.

#### 15. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

Source: TARS Database. Collection: Daily. Reporting: Annually.

- **16.** Calculation Methodology: This figure is a total of the number of permit violations reported by officers on daily and monthly reports.
- 17. Scope: Aggregate.
- **18. Caveats**: This system is affected by the accuracy of submitted data. This indicator will be used to identify the impact of changes in legislation pertaining to permit and weight laws.
- **19.** Accuracy, Maintenance, and Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.
- 20. Responsible Person:

# Program B: Criminal Investigations Program MISSION

The Criminal Investigation Program provides Louisiana citizens a safer community through proactive professional law enforcement services and cooperative efforts with allied enforcement entities.

## GOAL I

I. Ensure the detection of criminal activity and apprehension of perpetrators.

## **OBJECTIVE I.1**

I.1 Increase number of open cases investigating multijurisdictional felonies committed by juveniles associated with hybrid street gang or illicit drug activity in each fiscal year through FY 31 in order to reduce violent crime in Louisiana.

## STRATEGIES

I.1.1 Increase education and public awareness by providing informational avenues to report criminal activities.

I.1.2 Increase use of modern technology to enhance criminal investigations and the detection of criminal activity.

I.1.3 Increase educational presentations relative to reducing violent crime.

## PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Number of criminal investigations initiated Number of criminal investigations closed

#### **OBJECTIVE I.2**

I.2. Through the Insurance Fraud/Auto Theft activity, to identify, apprehend, and prepare cases for prosecution of individuals who have committed insurance fraud and auto theft, annually, through June 30, 2031.

#### STRATEGY

I.2.1. Increase effective communication with other agencies.

#### PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Percentage of Insurance Fraud and Auto Theft investigations resulting in arrests Number of Insurance Fraud and Auto Theft investigations initiated Number of Insurance Fraud and Auto Theft investigations closed

## GOAL II

II. Enhance and improve communications within Louisiana State Police and

with local, state, and federal law enforcement agencies.

#### **OBJECTIVE II.1**

II.1. Increase other agency assists by 2% through June 30, 2031.

## STRATEGIES

II.1.1 Require investigators to increase assistance to other law enforcement agencies.

II.1.2 Increase assistance and cooperation with non-law enforcement agencies to include private industry and local, state, and federal agencies.

II.1.3 Enhance capabilities of the Fusion Center as a centralized point for compiling all available information sources relating to homeland security.

II.1.4 Provide operational/technical support and intelligence to help solve crimes and apprehend criminals

# PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Number of other agency assists

Percentage of completed Criminal Requests for Information (RFI) from other agencies

**Program:** Criminal Investigation

**Objective:** I.1 Increase number of open cases investigating multijurisdictional felonies committed by juveniles associated with hybrid street gang or illicit drug activity in each fiscal year through FY 31 in order to reduce violent crime in Louisiana.

**Indicator Name:** *Number of criminal investigations initiated* **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** 20804

# 1. Type and Level: Efficiency; General

- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Measures the number of cases opened by all Criminal Investigative Sections.
- 3. Use: Case Management/Statistical.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection, and Reporting:

**Source:** Manual internal log. Mark 43 CAD/RMS may be developed to capture this number automatically during this five-year period. **Collection:** Monthly. **Reporting:** Annually.

- 6. Calculation Methodology: Calculation Numeric tally; Methodology Standard calculation.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate.
- 8. Caveats: None.
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, and Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

## **10. Responsible Person:**

Name/Title: Major Robert Lummus Phone: 225-922-1530 Email: <u>Robert.Lummus@la.gov</u>

**Program:** Criminal Investigation

**Objective:** I.1 Increase number of open cases investigating multijurisdictional felonies committed by juveniles associated with hybrid street gang or illicit drug activity in each fiscal year through FY 31 in order to reduce violent crime in Louisiana.

Indicator Name: Number of criminal investigations closed

## Indicator LaGov PI Code: 21281

- 1. Type and Level: Efficiency; General
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** The indicator can be compared to previous data to determine whether goals and objectives are being accomplished and to determine whether or not an inordinate number of cases are going unresolved.
- **3. Use:** Will be used for internal management decisions, as well as performance-based budgeting purposes.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

Source: Manual internal log. Mark 43 CAD/RMS is utilized to capture this number automatically.Collection: Monthly.Reporting: Annually.

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: Individual investigators are required to submit monthly reports which enumerate specific reporting categories, such as number of criminal investigations closed. The various unit and section supervisors then forward that information to a central location to be tallied and included in a computer database.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate.
- **8.** Caveats: Some criminal investigations are complex, labor/resource intensive and time consuming, which may bias the final performance indicator number.
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, and Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

## **10. Responsible Person:**

Name/Title: Major Robert Lummus Phone: 225-922-1530 Email: Robert.Lummus@la.gov

**Program:** Criminal Investigation

**Objective:** I.2. Through the Insurance Fraud / Auto Theft activity, to identify, apprehend, and prepare cases for prosecution of individuals who have committed insurance fraud and auto theft, annually, through June 30, 2031.

**Indicator Name:** *Percentage of Insurance Fraud and Auto Theft investigations resulting in arrests* **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** 23532

- 1. Type and Level: Outcome; Key
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Measures the percentage of investigations resulting in arrests.
- 3. Use: Case Management/Statistical.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Internal Database. Mark 43 Records Management System for IFAT is being used for accuracy input and the ability to categorize the IFAT annual statistics. **Collection:** Monthly. **Reporting:** Quarterly.

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: The number of investigations resulting in arrests divided by the total number of investigations closed.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate.
- 8. Caveats: None.
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, and Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.
- **10. Responsible Person:**

Name/Title: Lieutenant Dale Latham Phone: 337-254-1049 Email: <u>Dale.Latham@la.gov</u>

#### **Program:** Criminal Investigation

**Objective:** I.2. Through the Insurance Fraud / Auto Theft activity, to identify, apprehend, and prepare cases for prosecution of individuals who have committed insurance fraud and auto theft, annually, through June 30, 2031.

**Indicator Name:** *Number of Insurance Fraud and Auto Theft investigations initiated* **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** 23533

- 1. Type and Level: Input; Supporting
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Measures the number of cases opened by all Insurance Fraud Sections.
- 3. Use: Case Management/Statistical.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Internal Database. Mark 43 Records Management System for IFAT is being used for accuracy input and the ability to categorize the IFAT annual statistics. **Collection:** Monthly. **Reporting:** Semi-annually.

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: Calculation: Numeric tally Methodology: Standard calculation.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate.
- 8. Caveats: None.
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, and Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

## **10. Responsible Person:**

Name/Title: Lieutenant Dale Latham Phone: 337-254-1049 Email: <u>Dale.Latham@la.gov</u>

**Program:** Criminal Investigation **Objective:** I.2. Through the Insurance Fraud / Auto Theft activity, to identify, apprehend, and prepare cases for prosecution of individuals who have committed insurance fraud and auto theft, annually, through June 30, 2031.

**Indicator Name:** *Number of Insurance Fraud and Auto Theft investigations closed* **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** 23534

- 1. Type and Level: Output; Supporting
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** The indicator can be compared to previous data to determine whether goals and objectives are being accomplished and to determine whether or not an inordinate number of cases are going unresolved.
- **3. Use:** Will be used for internal management decisions, as well as performance based budgeting purposes.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Internal Database. Mark 43 Records Management System for IFAT is being used for accuracy input and the ability to categorize the IFAT annual statistics. **Collection:** Monthly.

**Reporting:** Semi-annually.

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: Individual investigators are required to submit monthly reports which enumerate specific reporting categories, such as number of investigations closed. The various unit and section supervisors then forward that information to a central location to be tallied and included in a computer database.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate.
- 8. Caveats: None.
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, and Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.
- **10. Responsible Person:**

Name/Title: Lieutenant Dale Latham Phone: 337-254-1049 Email: <u>Dale.Latham@la.gov</u>

Program: Criminal Investigation Objective: II.I Increase other agency assists by 2% by June 30, 2031. Indicator Name: Number of other agency assists Indicator LaGov PI Code: 21287

- 1. Type and Level: Efficiency; General
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Measures the actual number of times investigators assist other law enforcement agencies.
- 3. Use: Sharing of information and resources.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

Source: Manual internal log. Mark 43 CAD/RMS is utilized to capture this number automatically.Collection: Monthly.Reporting: Annually.

- 6. Calculation Methodology: Calculation: Actual number of other agency assists Methodology: Standard calculation.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate.
- 8. Caveats: None.
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, and Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

#### **10. Responsible Person:**

Name/Title: Major Robert Lummus Phone: 225-922-1530 Email: <u>Robert Lummus@la.gov</u>

**Program:** Criminal Investigation

**Objective:** II.2 Increase other agency assists by providing operational/technical support and intelligence to help solve crimes and apprehend criminals through June 30, 2031.

**Indicator Name:** *Percentage of completed Criminal Requests for Information (RFI) from other agencies* **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** 23531

- 1. Type and Level: Outcome; Key
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Measures the number of Criminal Requests for Information that are completed for other law enforcement agencies.
- **3.** Use: Sharing of information and resources.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

Source: Manual internal log. Mark43 CAD/RMS is utilized to capture this number automatically. Collection: Monthly. Reporting: Quarterly.

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: Division of the number of completed criminal RFI from other agencies by the total number of RFI.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate.
- 8. Caveats: None.
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, and Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.
- **10. Responsible Person:**

Name/Title: Major Robert Lummus Phone: 225-922-1530 Email: <u>Robert Lummus@la.gov</u>

# **Program C: Operational Support Program**

## MISSION

Operational Support's mission is to provide essential functions to support Public Safety Services, and to provide Crime Lab services, support for criminal investigations, and security for Capitol Park/Public Safety facilities.

## GOAL I

I. The Operational Support Program will develop innovative initiatives through which the State Police will maintain and improve its effectiveness and quality through accountability.

#### **OBJECTIVE I.1**

I.1 The Crime Laboratory will maintain lab-wide accreditation with at least one accrediting body to a forensic accreditation program based on compliance with ISO17025 standards for testing laboratories to ensure continued quality lab operations through June 30, 2031.

#### STRATEGIES

I.1.1 Maintain an internal Quality Assurance Unit.

I.1.2 Maintain compliance with required accreditation standards

I.1.3 Maintain compliance with required Federal Quality Assurance Standards for DNA Forensic and CODIS Database testing

I.1.4 Monitor general lab workload metrics that may affect other Lab objectives, but over which the Lab has little to no control.

## PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Number of current accreditations to a forensic accreditation program based on compliance with ISO17025 standards for testing labs Total number of lab requests received for analysis Number of DNA CODIS convicted offender samples received Number of DNA CODIS arrestee samples received Number of NIBIN samples entered

## **OBJECTIVE I.2**

I.2 The Crime Laboratory will analyze 95% of total requests received for analysis through June 30, 2031.

## STRATEGIES

I.2.1 Increase operating space.

I.2.2 Increase number of personnel.

I.2.3 Streamline processes to improve efficiency.

I.2.4 Apply emerging technology to improve efficiency.

## PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Percentage of total lab requests analyzed

## **OBJECTIVE I.3**

I.3 The Crime Laboratory will maintain an overall (of all forensic disciplines) analysis average turnaround time of 30 calendar days on requests for analysis received.

## STRATEGIES

I.3.1 Provide timely laboratory analysis to law enforcement agencies to assist in investigations of criminal activity.

I.3.2 The Crime Lab will incorporate methods, policies, protocols, best practices, and efficiency processes to reduce the turnaround time of requests for analysis without sacrificing the quality of the work product.

## PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Overall (of all forensic disciplines) analysis turnaround time (in calendar days)

#### **OBJECTIVE I.4**

I.4 The Bureau of Criminal Identification and Information will ensure that 90% of the requests received to update criminal history information are processed into the Louisiana Computerized Criminal History (LACCH) system and electronically available by June 30, 2031.

#### STRATEGIES

I.4.1 The Bureau of Criminal Identification and Information will implement electronic disposition reporting.

I.4.2 Continue to participate in the Interstate Identification Index

#### PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Percentage of received requests processed Number of expungements received Number of arrest dispositions received electronically Number of arrest dispositions received manually Number of criminal fingerprint cards received Number of criminal fingerprint cards processed Number of expungements processed Number of arrest dispositions processed manually

## **OBJECTIVE I.5**

I.5 The Bureau of Criminal Identification and Information will process 75% of the requests for applicant criminal history information within 15 days by June 30, 2031.

#### STRATEGIES

I.5.1 Evaluate and develop new methods for more efficient processing.

I.5.2 Develop a new Applicant Tracking system.

I.5.3 Identify and implement new technologies.

## PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Number of civil applicant requests received Number of civil applicant requests processed Number of civil applicant requests processed within 15 days Percentage of civil applicant requests processed within 15 days

## **OBJECTIVE I.6**

I.6 Distribute 100% of all received information related to sex offender registration through June 30, 2031.

## STRATEGIES

I.6.1 Assist with the identification of criminal justice and public functional needs for the Sex Offender Registry.

I.6.2 Assist with the management, development, and implementation of the SOCPR system.

## PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Percentage of distributed information of convicted child predator and sex offenders Number of new child predator and sex offender registrations received Number of new child predator and sex offender registrations posted to the Registry

## GOAL II

II. Increase proactive enforcement, increase community engagement, and provide for public safety throughout the State Capitol Complex, state buildings, and Public Safety Services facilities.

## **OBJECTIVE II.1**

II.1 To secure the Louisiana State Police Headquarters Complex, the Louisiana State Capitol Complex, and state buildings by increasing the number of non-vehicle patrol hours; and to supervise the Department of Corrections inmates assigned to the State Police barracks, through June 30, 2031.

## STRATEGIES

II.1.1 Increase public awareness through holding meetings with state employees, public and private agencies, and neighborhood associations.

II.1.2 Conduct proactive enforcement of state statutes throughout the State Capitol Complex.

#### PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Number of non-vehicle patrol hours by DPS Capitol Police Number of contacts, arrests, citations by DPS Capitol Police Number of non-vehicle patrol hours by DPS Barracks and DPS HQ personnel Number of contacts, arrests, citations by DPS Barracks and DPS HQ personnel

## GOAL III

III. Organize and facilitate the flow of information among the various sections of LSP to provide executive staff critical information regarding LSP functions.

#### **OBJECTIVE III.1**

III.1. Through the Operational Development and Public Affairs sections, under the direction of the Superintendent, to provide staff functions for the Superintendent and command staff and to provide public awareness and safety education to effectively promote public safety, annually, through June 30, 2031.

#### STRATEGIES

III.1.1 Conduct and coordinate existing, proven public safety awareness and education programs that promote public safety.

III.1.2 Continue to promote and expand the child safety seat installation program throughout the state.

III.1.3 Provide strategic planning, grant oversight and management, and research for the command staff annually.

III.1.4 Update departmental policy and procedure as needed.

III.1.5 Conduct self-initiated and command-directed research for the department.

III.1.6 Manage grants within the department as directed by the Superintendent.

III.1.7 Coordinate with Management and Finance on all aspects of strategic planning and budgeting.

## PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Number of safety/education presentations conducted Number of child safety seats installed Number of policies updated Number of active grants

## GOAL IV

IV. Maintain a presence in the statewide interoperable communications governance board that provides representation to regional, parish, local and tribal interests, all first responder disciplines, key NGOs, and all State entities that have a need for wireless communications to conduct daily operations or to respond to any disaster.

## **OBJECTIVE IV.1**

IV.1. Maximize the state's return on investment to provide a unified statewide interoperable communications network between LSP, federal, state, and local governments through June 30, 2031.

## STRATEGIES

IV.1.1 Continued development of statewide LWIN Radio System using 700/800 MHz technology for voice communication that can be utilized by emergency services agencies by June 30, 2031.

IV.1.2 Provide critical infrastructure access for voice, data and images during emergencies to first responders by incorporating additional system capacity utilizing tactical transportable equipment.

IV.1.3 Establish a fail-over backhaul system to increase reliability and support communication and to provide optical maintenance needs during state or

national emergencies by utilizing satellite, and available state-owned and private fiber to link wireless sites.

IV.1.4 Identify existing and emerging technologies that will enhance communication capabilities statewide.

IV.1.5 Participate in the Statewide Interoperable Communications Plan to coordinate, plan, and implement an interoperable communications environment throughout the state for first responders and other stakeholders.

IV.1.6 Increase voice interoperability between Louisiana State Police and local law enforcement.

IV.1.7 Research, design, and deploy comprehensive interoperability technology.

IV.1.8 Create a robust and redundant system infrastructure available for use by authorized Federal, State, and Tribal agencies, as well as local entities that have eligibility in the Public Safety Radio Pool as described in Federal Communications Commission Rules and Regulations (47 CFR-Part 90), to provide wireless transmission of voice, data, and critical imagery and connection to the public switched telephone network and mobile telephones networks.

## PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Number of radios on the P25 LWIN system

Percentage of time the statewide radio communications network is available Percentage of radio communications infrastructure preventative maintenance plan completed

Percentage of statewide coverage area on the LWIN Network

## GOAL V

V. The Training Academy will conduct annual in-service training and quarterly firearms training to ensure that each officer demonstrates the physical and professional competency necessary to perform his/her duties, assigned tasks and responsibilities.

## **OBJECTIVE V.1**

V.1. All commissioned personnel will attend an annual in-service training session to receive instruction in contemporary and relevant law enforcement topics and demonstrate proficiency in the use of firearms, driving, use of force, legal issues, and officer survival. Officers will attend quarterly firearms training to supplement annual in-service training.

## STRATEGIES

V.1.1. Training Academy staff will identify courses that will enhance the professional growth of law enforcement officers and enable them to perform their duties in an effective and efficient manner.

V.1.2. Designated staff will stay informed of the various courses of law enforcement training that may be available to personnel.

V.1.3. Results of feedback from various conferences, seminars and after-action reports will be evaluated to determine the training needs of department personnel.

## PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Number of in-service courses delivered Number of commissioned officers attending in-service courses Percentage of commissioned officers attending in-service courses

## GOAL VI

VI. Provide basic law enforcement & relational leadership training that identifies individual skills proficiency, enforces survival and tactical competency, and enhances the cognitive capabilities of each officer to effectively perform his/her duties, assigned tasks and responsibilities.

## **OBJECTIVE VI.1**

VI.1. Through the Training activity, to conduct at least one State Police cadet class annually through June 30, 2031.

## STRATEGIES

VI.1.1. Designated staff personnel shall test, screen and identify potential applicants considered eligible to enter the Louisiana State Police training academy as Cadets.

## PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Number of State Police cadet classes conducted each fiscal year Number of cadets entering training each fiscal year Number of cadets successfully completing training each fiscal year Percentage of cadets successfully completing training each fiscal year

## GOAL VII

VII. The Technology and Business Support section will provide support to all sections of LSP and to the Command Staff by researching, maintaining, and upgrading technological solutions and by proposing and implementing best business practices to streamline related LSP processes.

## **OBJECTIVE VII.1**

VII.I The Technology and Business Support section will assist all Troops/Sections with the procurement of goods and services for the success of their mission. This includes but is not limited to contract negotiation, LaCarte purchases, creation of purchase orders, MOUs, and general procurement package submission to the Division of Administration. This initiative will help centralize the procurement of items across the state, which will provide consistency and an expedient method to procuring goods and services.

## **STRATEGIES**

VII.1.1. Maintain and oversee the Body-Worn Camera program.

VII.1.2 Fully maintain the e-citation program.

VII.1.3 Fully support Mark 43 CAD/RMS

VII.1.4 Manage the LSP Property Inventory in conjunction with Division of Administration rules and guidance.

VII.1.5 Manage the assignment and return of computer equipment and cell phones; process returned items for data retention in accordance with state law and applicable DPS policies.

## PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Number of purchase orders initiated by TBS Number of purchase orders closed by TBS Total amount of all purchases Number of technology help tickets processed

#### **Program:** Operational Support

**Objective:** I.1 The Crime Laboratory will maintain lab-wide accreditation with at least one accrediting body to a forensic accreditation program based on compliance with ISO17025 standards for testing laboratories to ensure continued quality lab operations through June 30, 2031.

**Indicator Name:** Number of current accreditations to a forensic accreditation program based on compliance with ISO17025 standards for testing labs **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** 26042

- **1. Type and Level:** Efficiency; Key
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Maintaining current accreditation is necessary to assure quality lab work.
- **3. Use:** To determine accreditation status.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** The Lab's accrediting body **Collection:** Accreditation is typically granted for a five-year period, provided continued compliance is determined by the accrediting body.

**Reporting:** Quarterly

- **6. Calculation Methodology**: Calculation: No calculation is required. Methodology: Manual count of the number of lab accreditation certificates.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate
- 8. Caveats: N/A
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

## **10. Responsible Person:**

## **Program:** Operational Support

**Objective:** I.1 The Crime Laboratory will maintain lab-wide accreditation with at least one accrediting body to a forensic accreditation program based on compliance with ISO17025 standards for testing laboratories to ensure continued quality lab operations through June 30, 2031.

**Indicator Name:** Total number of lab requests received for analysis **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** 6626

- 1. Type and Level: Input; General
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Monitoring the total number of lab requests received for analysis may indicate changes in volume of workload that may require additional lab resources.
- 3. Use: Measures the workload of lab analysis.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.

## 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Count from internal database (JusticeTrax) **Collection:** Upon entry into internal database (JusticeTrax) **Reporting:** Annually

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: Calculation: Report in JusticeTrax; Methodology: Tabulation of the number of lab requests for analysis received within a specific interval during reporting period.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate
- 8. Caveats: N/A
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

## **10. Responsible Person:**

## **Program:** Operational Support

**Objective:** I.1 The Crime Laboratory will maintain lab-wide accreditation with at least one accrediting body to a forensic accreditation program based on compliance with ISO17025 standards for testing laboratories to ensure continued quality lab operations through June 30, 2031.

Indicator Name: Number of DNA CODIS convicted offender samples received Indicator LaGov PI Code: 15551

- **1. Type and Level:** Input; General
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Monitoring the total number of DNA CODIS convicted offender samples received for analysis may indicate changes in volume of workload that may require additional lab resources.
- **3. Use:** Measures the workload of lab analysis.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.

## 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** DOC and Probation and Parole personnel who collect samples **Collections:** Every time a DOC inmate enters a DOC facility who qualifies for a DNA sample collection and every time a DOC facility has a backlog of inmates that need to be collected. Collections also occur by Probation and Parole every time a probationer presents himself at his local Probation and Parole Office. **Reporting:** Annually

- 6. Calculation Methodology: Calculation: Report in JusticeTrax; Methodology: Tabulation of the number of DNA CODIS convicted offender samples for analysis received within a specific interval during reporting period.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate
- 8. Caveats: N/A
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

## **10. Responsible Person:**

## **Program:** Operational Support

**Objective:** I.1 The Crime Laboratory will maintain lab-wide accreditation with at least one accrediting body to a forensic accreditation program based on compliance with ISO17025 standards for testing laboratories to ensure continued quality lab operations through June 30, 2031.

Indicator Name: Number of DNA CODIS arrestee samples received Indicator LaGov PI Code: 15552

- 1. Type and Level: Input; General
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Monitoring the total number of DNA CODIS arrestee samples received for analysis may indicate changes in volume of workload that may require additional lab resources.
- **3. Use:** Measures the workload of lab analysis.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.

## 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Local law enforcement agencies that collect DNA samples **Collection:** Daily **Reporting:** Annually

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: Calculation: Report in JusticeTrax; Methodology: Tabulation of the number of DNA CODIS arrestee samples for analysis received within a specific interval during reporting period.
- 7. Scope: Disaggregate
- 8. Caveats: N/A
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

## **10. Responsible Person:**

## **Program:** Operational Support

**Objective:** I.1 The Crime Laboratory will maintain lab-wide accreditation with at least one accrediting body to a forensic accreditation program based on compliance with ISO17025 standards for testing laboratories to ensure continued quality lab operations through June 30, 2031.

Indicator Name: Number of NIBIN samples entered Indicator LaGov PI Code: 26385

- **1. Type and Level:** Input; General
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Monitoring the total number of NIBIN samples entered may indicate changes in volume of workload that may require additional lab resources.
- 3. Use: Measures the workload of lab analysis.
- **4. Clarity:** The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured. NIBIN refers to the ballistic database used to assist law enforcement in investigating crimes involving the use of a firearm.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Samples collected from crime scenes involving the use of a firearm **Collection:** Daily **Reporting:** Annually

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: Calculation: Report from the NIBIN software; Methodology: Tabulation of the number of NIBIN samples entered into the database within a specific interval during reporting period.
- 7. Scope: Disaggregate
- 8. Caveats: N/A
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

## **10. Responsible Person:**

**Program:** Operational Support **Objective:** I.2 The Crime Laboratory will analyze 95% of total requests received for analysis through June 30, 2031. **Indicator Name:** Percentage of total lab requests analyzed **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** 6625

- 1. Type and Level: Efficiency; Key
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Monitoring percentage of lab requests analyzed is a measure of the lab's efficiency based on current resources.
- **3. Use:** Track percentage of total lab requests analyzed to determine if additional lab resources are needed.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Input into internal database (JusticeTrax) **Collection:** Upon entry into internal database (JusticeTrax) **Reporting:** Quarterly

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: Calculation: Requests completed divided by requests received X 100; Methodology: Simple percentage.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate
- 8. Caveats: N/A
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

## **10. Responsible Person:**

**Program:** Operational Support

**Objective**: I.3 The Crime Laboratory will maintain an overall (of all forensic disciplines) analysis average turnaround time of 30 calendar days on requests for analysis received. **Indicator Name:** Overall (of all forensic disciplines) analysis turnaround time (in calendar days)

Indicator LaGov PI Code: 26043

- 1. Type and Level: Efficiency; Key
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Maintaining an overall turnaround time of 30 calendar days provides timely analysis data to law enforcement investigations.
- 3. Use: Measure the timeliness of forensic analysis program
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Turnaround time calculated from internal database (JusticeTrax) **Collection:** Upon entry into internal database (JusticeTrax) **Reporting:** Quarterly

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: Calculation: Report in JusticeTrax; Methodology: Calculation of the average number of calendar days from receipt to administrative review for all requests for analysis received during a specific reporting period.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate
- 8. Caveats: N/A
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.
- **10.** Responsible Person:

**Program:** Operational Support

**Objective:** I.4 The Bureau of Criminal Identification and Information will ensure that 90% of the requests received to update criminal history information are processed into the Louisiana Computerized Criminal History (LACCH) system and electronically available by June 30, 2031.

Indicator Name: Percentage of received requests processed Indicator LaGov PI Code: 20810

- 1. Type and Level: Efficiency; Key
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Provides a percentage of the work processed to the work received.
- 3. Use: Identifies the need for additional resources or changes in workflow.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

Source: Work reports Collection: Daily Reporting: Quarterly

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: Calculation: Numeric; Methodology: Divide total number of requests processed by total number of requests received.
- 7. Scope: Disaggregate
- 8. Caveats: N/A
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.
- 10. Responsible Person:

**Program:** Operational Support

**Objective:** I.4 The Bureau of Criminal Identification and Information will ensure that 90% of the requests received to update criminal history information are processed into the Louisiana Computerized Criminal History (LACCH) system and electronically available by June 30, 2031.

Indicator Name: Number of expungements received Indicator LaGov PI Code: 10991

- 1. Type and Level: Input; Supporting
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** It identifies the amount of requests so the agency can determine the need associated with performing the service.
- 3. Use: Provide need for personnel and justify the need for electronic submission
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

Source: Mail Collection: Daily Reporting: Semi-annually

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: Calculation: Numeric; Methodology: Manually tally of expungements received.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate
- 8. Caveats: N/A
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.
- **10. Responsible Person:**

#### **Program:** Operational Support

**Objective:** I.4 The Bureau of Criminal Identification and Information will ensure that 90% of the requests received to update criminal history information are processed into the Louisiana Computerized Criminal History (LACCH) system and electronically available by June 30, 2031.

**Indicator Name:** Number of arrest dispositions received electronically **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** 26044

- 1. Type and Level: Input; Supporting
- **2. Rationale**, **Relevance**, **Reliability:** Provide number of dispositions reported electronically
- **3. Use:** To identify personnel needs and to justify the need for the capability of electronic submission
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

Source: Electronic Collection: Daily Reporting: Semi-annually

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: Calculation: Numeric; Methodology: Electronic report from Data Processing
- 7. Scope: Disaggregate
- 8. Caveats: N/A
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

## **10. Responsible Person:**

#### Program: Operational Support

**Objective:** I.4 The Bureau of Criminal Identification and Information will ensure that 90% of the requests received to update criminal history information are processed into the Louisiana Computerized Criminal History (LACCH) system and electronically available by June 30, 2031.

**Indicator Name:** Number of arrest dispositions received manually **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** 14207

- 1. Type and Level: Input; Supporting
- 2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability: Provide number of dispositions received manually
- **3. Use:** To identify personnel needs and to justify the need for capability of electronic submission
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

Source: Mail Collection: Daily Reporting: Semi-annually

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: Calculation: Numeric; Methodology: Manual tally of dispositions received.
- 7. Scope: Disaggregate
- 8. Caveats: N/A
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.
- **10. Responsible Person:**

#### **Program:** Operational Support

**Objective:** I.4 The Bureau of Criminal Identification and Information will ensure that 90% of the requests received to update criminal history information are processed into the Louisiana Computerized Criminal History (LACCH) system and electronically available by June 30, 2031.

**Indicator Name:** Number of criminal fingerprint cards received **Indicator LaGov PI Code**: 10988

- 1. Type and Level: Input; Supporting
- 2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability: Provide number of criminal cards received manually
- 3. Use: Identify need for personnel and equipment
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

Source: Mail Collection: Daily Reporting: Semi-annually

- **6. Calculation Methodology**: Calculation: Numeric; Methodology: Manual tally of criminal fingerprint cards received.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate
- 8. Caveats: N/A
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.
- **10. Responsible Person:**

Program: Operational Support

**Objective:** I.4 The Bureau of Criminal Identification and Information will ensure that 90% of the requests received to update criminal history information are processed into the Louisiana Computerized Criminal History (LACCH) system and electronically available by June 30, 2031.

Indicator Name: Number of criminal fingerprint cards processed Indicator LaGov PI Code: 10990

- 1. Type and Level: Output; Supporting
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability**: Provide the number of criminal fingerprint cards processed manually
- 3. Use: Identify the need for personnel and equipment
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

Source: Work reports Collection: Daily Reporting: Semi-annually

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: Calculation: Numeric; Methodology: Manual tally of criminal cards.
- 7. Scope: Disaggregate
- 8. Caveats: N/A
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.
- **10. Responsible Person:**

#### **Program:** Operational Support

**Objective:** I.4 The Bureau of Criminal Identification and Information will ensure that 90% of the requests received to update criminal history information are processed into the Louisiana Computerized Criminal History (LACCH) system and electronically available by June 30, 2031.

Indicator Name: Number of expungements processed Indicator LaGov PI Code: 10992

- 1. Type and Level: Output; Key
- 2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability: Provide the number of expungements processed
- 3. Use: Identify the need for personnel and funding
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.

## 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

Source: Work reports Collection: Daily Reporting: Quarterly

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: Calculation: Numeric; Methodology: Manual tally of expungements.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate
- 8. Caveats: N/A
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

## **10. Responsible Person:**

**Program:** Operational Support

**Objective:** I.4 The Bureau of Criminal Identification and Information will ensure that 90% of the requests received to update criminal history information are processed into the Louisiana Computerized Criminal History (LACCH) system and electronically available by June 30, 2031.

Indicator Name: Number of arrest dispositions processed manually Indicator LaGov PI Code: 14208

- 1. Type and Level: Output; Supporting
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Provide the number of dispositions processed manually
- 3. Use: Identify the need for personnel and funding
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

Source: Work reports Collection: Daily Reporting: Semi-annually

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: Calculation: Numeric; Methodology: Manual tally of arrest dispositions processed.
- 7. Scope: Disaggregate
- 8. Caveats: N/A
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

## **10. Responsible Person:**

**Program:** Operational Support

**Objective:** I.5 The Bureau of Criminal Identification and Information will process 75% of the requests for applicant criminal history information within 15 days by June 30, 2031.

Indicator Name: Number of civil applicant requests received Indicator LaGov PI Code: 14215

- 1. Type and Level: Output; Supporting
- 2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability: Provide the number of Civil Applicants received
- 3. Use: To identify personnel and equipment needs
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Manually and electronically **Collection:** Daily/monthly **Reporting:** Semi-annually

- **6. Calculation Methodology:** Calculation: Numeric; Methodology: Sum of cards received manually and electronically.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate
- 8. Caveats: N/A
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

## **10. Responsible Person:**

#### **Program:** Operational Support

**Objective:** I.5 The Bureau of Criminal Identification and Information will process 75% of the requests for applicant criminal history information within 15 days by June 30, 2031.

Indicator Name: Number of civil applicant requests processed Indicator LaGov PI Code: 20816

- 1. Type and Level: Output; Supporting
- 2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability: Provide the number of Civil Applicant Requests processed
- 3. Use: To identify personnel and equipment needs
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

Source: Manual/Electronic Collection: Daily/Monthly Reporting: Semi-annually

- **6. Calculation Methodology**: Calculation: Numeric. Methodology: Sum of applicants processed.
- 7. Scope: Disaggregate
- 8. Caveats: N/A
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

## **10. Responsible Person:**

**Program:** Operational Support

**Objective:** I.5 The Bureau of Criminal Identification and Information will process 75% of the requests for applicant criminal history information within 15 days by June 30, 2031.

**Indicator Name:** Number of civil applicant requests processed within 15 days **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** 14216

- 1. Type and Level: Efficiency; Supporting
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Shows the amount of work performed within a certain time frame
- **3. Use:** Identifies the need for additional resources or changes in workflow or processes to reduce the response time
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

Source: Manual/Electronic Collection: Daily/Monthly Reporting: Semi-annually

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: Calculation: Numeric; Methodology: Sum of applicant requests processed in 15 days or less.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate
- 8. Caveats: N/A
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.
- **10. Responsible Person:**

**Program:** Operational Support

**Objective:** I.5 The Bureau of Criminal Identification and Information will process 75% of the requests for applicant criminal history information within 15 days by June 30, 2031.

**Indicator Name:** Percentage of civil applicant requests processed within 15 days **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** 21308

- 1. Type and Level: Outcome; Supporting
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Shows the amount of work performed within a certain time frame
- **3. Use:** Identifies the need for additional resources or changes in workflow or processes to reduce the response time
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

Source: Manual Collection: Daily/Monthly Reporting: Semi-annually

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: Calculation: Numeric; Methodology: Sum of applicant requests processed in 15 days or less divided by the total number of applicant requests received.
- 7. Scope: Disaggregate
- 8. Caveats: N/A
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.
- **10. Responsible Person:**

Program: Operational Support
Objective: I.6 Distribute 100% of all received information related to sex offender registration through June 30, 2031.
Indicator Name: Percentage of distributed information of convicted child predators and sex offenders
Indicator LaGov PI Code: 20911

- 1. Type and Level: Efficiency; Key
- 2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability: Information distributed on convicted child predators and sex offenders
- 3. Use: Raise public awareness
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Internal database **Collection:** Monthly **Reporting:** Quarterly

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: Divide the number of new child predator and sex offender registrations posted by those registrations received.
- 7. Scope: Disaggregate
- 8. Caveats: N/A
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.
- **10. Responsible Person:**

Program: Operational Support
Objective: I.6 Distribute 100% of all received information related to sex offender registration through June 30, 2031.
Indicator Name: Number of new child predator and sex offender registrations received
Indicator LaGov PI Code: 26046

- 1. Type and Level: Input; Supporting
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** To determine child predator and sex offender registrations received
- 3. Use: Measure increase in numbers of offenders
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

Source: Internal database Collection: Monthly Reporting: Semi-annually

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: Measure number of new offenders in calendar year versus previous years.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate
- 8. Caveats: N/A
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.
- **10. Responsible Person:**

Program: Operational Support
Objective: I.6 Distribute 100% of all received information related to sex offender registration through June 30, 2031.
Indicator Name: Number of new child predator and sex offender registrations posted to the registry
Indicator LaGov PI Code: 26047

- 1. Type and Level: Output; Supporting
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** To determine child predator and sex offender registrations input into the Registry
- 3. Use: Measure registrations posted to the Registry
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Internal database **Collection:** Monthly **Reporting:** Semi-annually

- 6. Calculation Methodology: Measure number of offenders posted to the Registry.
- 7. Scope: Disaggregate
- 8. Caveats: N/A
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.
- **10. Responsible Person:**

**Program**: Operational Support

**Objective:** 11.1 To secure the Louisiana State Police Headquarters Complex, the Louisiana State Capitol Complex, and state buildings by increasing the number of non-vehicle patrol hours; and to supervise the Department of Corrections inmates assigned to the State Police barracks, through June 30, 2031.

Indicator Name: Number of non-vehicle patrol hours by DPS Capitol Police Indicator LaGov PI Code: 21938

- 1. Type and Level: Efficiency; Key
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** To determine the number of hours patrolled, excluding the hours spent in the car
- 3. Use: Assists in determining additional funding, equipment, and T.O. needs.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Mark43 dispatch system **Collection:** Daily **Reporting:** Quarterly

- 6. Calculation Methodology: Calculation: Numeric Tally; Methodology: Standard Calculation
- 7. Scope: Aggregate
- 8. Caveats: N/A
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.
- **10. Responsible Person:**

Name/Title: Captain J. Lyons Hale III Phone: 225-219-4410 Email: Johnson.Hale@la.gov

**Program**: Operational Support

**Objective:** II.1 To secure the Louisiana State Police Headquarters Complex, the Louisiana State Capitol Complex, and state buildings by increasing the number of non-vehicle patrol hours; and to supervise the Department of Corrections inmates assigned to the State Police barracks, through June 30, 2031.

Indicator Name: Number of contacts, arrests, citations by DPS Capitol Police Indicator LaGov PI Code: 10555

- 1. Type and Level: Output; Supporting
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Measure number of contacts, arrests, and citations by DPS Police Officers assigned to the Capitol Detail.
- 3. Use: Assists in determining additional funding, equipment, and T.O. needs.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

Source: Mark 43 CAD/RMS Collection: Daily Reporting: Semi-annually

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: Calculation: Numeric tally; Methodology: Standard calculation
- 7. Scope: Aggregate
- 8. Caveats: N/A
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

### **10. Responsible Person:**

Name/Title: Captain J. Lyons Hale III Phone: 225-219-4410 Email: Johnson.Hale@la.gov

**Program:** Operational Support

**Objective:** II.1 To secure the Louisiana State Police Headquarters Complex, the Louisiana State Capitol Complex, and state buildings by increasing the number of non-vehicle patrol hours; and to supervise the Department of Corrections inmates assigned to the State Police barracks, through June 30, 2031.

**Indicator Name:** Number of non-vehicle patrol hours by DPS Barracks / HQ personnel **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** 4193001

- 1. Type and Level: Efficiency; Key
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Measure the number of non-vehicle patrol hours by DPS Police Officers assigned to the Physical Security section.
- 3. Use: Assists in determining additional funding, equipment, and T.O. needs.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Internal database **Collection:** Daily **Reporting:** Quarterly

- 6. Calculation Methodology: Calculation: Numeric tally; Methodology: Standard calculation
- 7. Scope: Aggregate
- 8. Caveats: N/A
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.
- **10. Responsible Person:**

Name/Title: Captain Patrick Washington Phone: 225-658-2150 Email: <u>Henry.Washington@la.gov</u>

**Program:** Operational Support

**Objective:** II.1 To secure the Louisiana State Police Headquarters Complex, the Louisiana State Capitol Complex, and state buildings by increasing the number of non-vehicle patrol hours; and to supervise the Department of Corrections inmates assigned to the State Police barracks, through June 30, 2031.

**Indicator Name:** Number of contacts, arrests, citations by DPS Barracks and DPS HQ personnel

# Indicator LaGov PI Code: 4193002

- 1. Type and Level: Output; Supporting
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Measure number of contacts, arrests, and citations by DPS Police Officers assigned to the Physical Security section.
- 3. Use: Assists in determining additional funding, equipment, and T.O. needs.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Mark 43 CAD/RMS **Collection:** Daily **Reporting:** Semi-annually

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: Calculation: Numeric tally; Methodology: Standard calculation
- 7. Scope: Aggregate
- 8. Caveats: N/A
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

### **10. Responsible Person:**

Name/Title: Captain Patrick Washington Phone: 225-658-2150 Email: <u>Henry.Washington@la.gov</u>

**Program:** Operational Support

**Objective:** III.1. Through the Operational Development and Public Affairs sections, under the direction of the Superintendent, to provide staff functions for the Superintendent and command staff and to provide public awareness and safety education to effectively promote public safety, annually, through June 30, 2031.

Indicator Name: Number of safety / education presentations conducted Indicator LaGov PI Code: 23537

- 1. Type and Level: Efficiency; Key
- 2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability: Measure the number of safety/education presentations conducted
- 3. Use: To determine the number of safety/education presentations conducted
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Internal database **Collection:** Monthly **Reporting:** Quarterly

- 6. Calculation Methodology: Numeric tally
- 7. Scope: Aggregate
- 8. Caveats: N/A
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.
- **10. Responsible Person:**

Name/Title: Captain Russell Graham Phone: 225-925-6002 Ext. 208 Email: <u>Russell.Graham@la.gov</u>

**Program:** Operational Support

**Objective:** III.1. Through the Operational Development and Public Affairs sections, under the direction of the Superintendent, to provide staff functions for the Superintendent and command staff and to provide public awareness and safety education to effectively promote public safety, annually, through June 30, 2031. **Indicator Name:** Number of child safety seats installed **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** 23538

- 1. Type and Level: Output; Key
- 2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability: Measure the number of child safety seats installed
- 3. Use: To determine the number of child safety seats installed
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

Source: Internal database Collection: Monthly Reporting: Quarterly

- 6. Calculation Methodology: Numeric tally
- 7. Scope: Aggregate
- 8. Caveats: N/A
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

#### **10. Responsible Person:**

Name/Title: Captain Russell Graham Phone: 225-925-6002 Ext. 208 Email: <u>Russell.Graham@la.gov</u>

**Program:** Operational Support

**Objective:** III.1. Through the Operational Development and Public Affairs sections, under the direction of the Superintendent, to provide staff functions for the Superintendent and command staff and to provide public awareness and safety education to effectively promote public safety, annually, through June 30, 2031.

Indicator Name: Number of policies updated

Indicator LaGov PI Code: 25839

- 1. Type and Level: Output; General
- 2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability: Measures the number of policies updated
- 3. Use: Will be used for internal record keeping.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Records kept by Operational Development **Collection:** Quarterly **Reporting:** Annually

- 6. Calculation Methodology: Numeric tally
- 7. Scope: Disaggregate
- 8. Caveats: N/A
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.
- **10. Responsible Person**:

Name/Title: Captain Lance Kennedy Phone: 225-925-4239 Email: Lance.Kennedy@la.gov

**Program:** Operational Support

**Objective:** III.1. Through the Operational Development and Public Affairs sections, under the direction of the Superintendent, to provide staff functions for the Superintendent and command staff and to provide public awareness and safety education to effectively promote public safety, annually, through June 30, 2031.

Indicator Name: Number of active grants Indicator LaGov PI Code: 25840

- 1. Type and Level: Output; General
- 2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability: Measures the number of active grants.
- **3. Use:** Will be used for internal record keeping to allow the command staff to know the number of active grants within the department.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Records kept by Operational Development **Collection:** Continuous **Reporting:** Annually

- 6. Calculation Methodology: Calculation: Numeric tally; Methodology: N/A
- 7. Scope: Aggregate
- 8. Caveats: N/A
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.
- **10.** Responsible Person:

Name/Title: Captain Lance Kennedy Phone: 225-925-4239 Email: Lance.Kennedy@la.gov

**Program:** Operational Support **Objective:** IV.1. Maximize the state's return on investment to provide a unified statewide interoperable communications network between LSP, federal, state, and local governments through June 30, 2031. **Indicator Name:** Number of radios on the P25 LWIN system **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** 25841

# 1. Type and Level: Output; Key

**2. Rationale**, **Relevance**, **Reliability:** To ensure that DPS continues to move toward a newer technology that insures statewide interoperability

**3.** Use: This indicator will be used for both internal management purposes and performance based budgeting purposes.

**4.** Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.

# 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Internal log **Collection:** Quarterly **Reporting:** Quarterly

# 6. Calculation Methodology: Standard calculation - simple addition

### 7. Scope: Aggregate

### 8. Caveats: N/A

**9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

#### **10. Responsible Person:**

**Program:** Operational Support

**Objective:** IV.1. Maximize the state's return on investment to provide a unified statewide interoperable communications network between LSP, federal, state, and local governments through June 30, 2031.

**Indicator Name:** Percentage of time the statewide radio communications network is available

Indicator LaGov PI Code: 25842

1. Type and Level: Outcome; Key

**2. Rationale**, **Relevance**, **Reliability:** To ensure the network is available to all users, allowing first responders communications in times of emergencies and for daily use.

**3.** Use: The indicator will be used for both internal management purposes and performance based budgeting purposes.

4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.

### 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Internal log **Collection:** Quarterly **Reporting:** Quarterly

**6.** Calculation Methodology: Standard calculation – simple division and multiplication percentage

7. Scope: Disaggregate

#### 8. Caveats: N/A

**9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

#### **10. Responsible Person:**

**Program:** Operational Support **Objective:** IV.1. Maximize the state's return on investment to provide a unified statewide interoperable communications network between LSP, federal, state, and local governments through June 30, 2031.

**Indicator Name:** Percentage of radio communications infrastructure preventative maintenance plan completed **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** 25843

# **1. Type and Level:** Outcome; Key

**2. Rationale**, **Relevance**, **Reliability:** To ensure the network is available to all users, allowing first responders communications in times of emergencies and for daily use.

**3.** Use: This indicator will be used for both internal management purposes and performance based budgeting purposes.

4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.

### 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

Source: Internal log Collection: Quarterly Reporting: Quarterly

**6.** Calculation Methodology: Standard calculation – simple division and multiplication percentage

**7. Scope:** Disaggregate

#### 8. Caveats: N/A

**9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

#### **10. Responsible Person:**

**Program:** Operational Support

**Objective:** IV.1. Maximize the state's return on investment to provide a unified statewide interoperable communications network between LSP, federal, state, and local governments through June 30, 2031.

Indicator Name: Percentage of statewide coverage area on the LWIN Network Indicator LaGov PI Code: 25844

1. Type and Level: Efficiency; Key

**2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** To ensure the network is available to all users no matter what location the users are at, allowing first responders communications statewide in times of emergencies and for daily use.

**3.** Use: This indicator will be used for both internal management purposes and performance based budgeting purposes.

4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.

### 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

Source: Internal log Collection: Quarterly Reporting: Quarterly

**6.** Calculation Methodology: Standard calculation – simple division and multiplication percentage

7. Scope: Disaggregate

### 8. Caveats: N/A

**9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

### **10. Responsible Person:**

### Program: Operational Support

**Objective:** V.1. All commissioned personnel will attend an annual in-service training session to receive instruction in contemporary and relevant law enforcement topics and demonstrate proficiency in the use of firearms, driving, use of force, legal issues, and officer survival. Officers will attend quarterly firearms training to supplement annual in-service training.

Indicator Name: Number of in-service courses delivered Indicator LaGov PI Code: 5904

- **1.** Type and level: Input; Key
- 2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability: Measures the number of in-service courses delivered
- 3. Use: For internal division management purposes
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Internal log/database **Collection:** Monthly **Reporting**: Quarterly

- 6. Calculation Methodology: Simple count of the number of in-service courses delivered
- 7. Scope: Aggregate
- 8. Caveats/Limitations: None
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

### **10. Responsible Person:**

### Program: Operational Support

**Objective:** V.1. All commissioned personnel will attend an annual in-service training session to receive instruction in contemporary and relevant law enforcement topics and demonstrate proficiency in the use of firearms, driving, use of force, legal issues, and officer survival. Officers will attend quarterly firearms training to supplement annual in-service training.

Indicator Name: Number of commissioned officers attending in-service courses Indicator LaGov PI Code: 24182

- **1. Type and level:** Input; Key
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Measures the number of commissioned officers attending in-service courses
- 3. Use: For internal division management purposes
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Internal log/database **Collection:** Monthly **Reporting:** Quarterly

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: Simple count of the number of commissioned officers attending in-service courses
- 7. Scope: Aggregate
- 8. Caveats/Limitations: None
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.
- **10. Responsible Person:**

### Program: Operational Support

**Objective:** V.1. All commissioned personnel will attend an annual in-service training session to receive instruction in contemporary and relevant law enforcement topics and demonstrate proficiency in the use of firearms, driving, use of force, legal issues, and officer survival. Officers will attend quarterly firearms training to supplement annual in-service training.

Indicator Name: Percentage of commissioned officers attending in-service courses Indicator LaGov PI Code: 22424

- **1. Type and level:** Efficiency; Key
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Provides a measure of the number of commissioned officers attending in-service courses
- 3. Use: For internal division management purposes
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Internal log/database **Collection:** Monthly **Reporting:** Quarterly

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: Simple division of the number of commissioned officers attending in-service courses by the number of commissioned officers
- 7. Scope: Disaggregate
- 8. Caveats/Limitations: None
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.
- **10. Responsible Person:**

**Program:** Operational Support **Objective:** VI.1. Through the Training activity, to conduct at least one State Police cadet class annually through June 30, 2031. **Indicator Name:** Number of State Police cadet classes conducted each fiscal year **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** 20794

- **1. Type and level:** Efficiency; Key
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Measures the number of State Police cadet classes conducted each fiscal year
- 3. Use: For internal division management purposes
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Internal log/database **Collection:** Annually **Reporting:** Quarterly

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: Simple count of the number of State Police cadet classes conducted each fiscal year
- 7. Scope: Aggregate
- 8. Caveats/Limitations: None
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

#### **10. Responsible Person:**

**Program:** Operational Support **Objective:** VI.1. Through the Training activity, to conduct at least one State Police cadet class annually through June 30, 2031. **Indicator Name:** Number of cadets entering training each fiscal year **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** 20795

- 1. Type and level: Output; Supporting
- 2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability: Measures the number of cadets entering training each fiscal year
- **3. Use:** For internal division management purposes
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Internal log/database **Collection:** Annually **Reporting:** Semi-annual

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: Simple count of the number of cadets entering training each fiscal year
- 7. Scope: Aggregate
- 8. Caveats/Limitations: None
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

#### **10. Responsible Person:**

**Program:** Operational Support **Objective:** VI.1. Through the Training activity, to conduct at least one State Police cadet class annually through June 30, 2031. **Indicator Name:** Number of cadets successfully completing training each fiscal year

Indicator LaGov PI Code: 24183

- 1. Type and level: Output; Supporting
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Measures the number of cadets successfully completing training each fiscal year
- 3. Use: For internal division management purposes
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Internal log/database **Collection:** Annually **Reporting:** Semi-annually

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: The number of cadets successfully completing training each fiscal year
- 7. Scope: Disaggregate
- 8. Caveats/Limitations: None
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

### **10. Responsible Person:**

**Program:** Operational Support

**Objective:** VI.1. Through the Training activity, to conduct at least one State Police cadet class annually through June 30, 2031.

**Indicator Name:** Percentage of cadets successfully completing training each fiscal year **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** 22425

- 1. Type and level: Outcome; Key
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Provides a measure of the number of cadets successfully completing training each fiscal year
- 3. Use: For internal division management purposes
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Internal log/database **Collection:** Annually **Reporting:** Quarterly

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: Simple division of the number of cadets successfully completing training each fiscal year by the number of cadets who entered training each fiscal year
- 7. Scope: Disaggregate
- 8. Caveats/Limitations: None
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.
- **10. Responsible Person:**

**Program:** Operational Support

**Objective:** VII.1. The Technology and Business Support section will assist all Troops/Sections with the procurement of good and services for the success of their mission. This includes but is not limited to contract negotiation, LaCarte purchases, creation of purchase orders, MOU's, and general procurement package submission to the Division of Administration. This initiative will help centralize the procurement of items across the state, which will provide consistency and an expedient method to procuring goods and services.

**Indicator Name:** Number of purchase orders initiated by TBS **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** 4193010

- 1. Type and level: Outcome; Supporting
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Provides a measure of the TBS workload in support of LSP business practices.
- 3. Use: For internal division management purposes
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Source: Internal log/database Collection: Monthly Reporting: Semi-annually
- **6.** Calculation Methodology: Simple tracking of purchase orders initiated on an internal log; addition.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate
- 8. Caveats/Limitations: None
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.
- **10. Responsible Person:**

# Program: Operational Support

**Objective:** VII.1. The Technology and Business Support section will assist all Troops/Sections with the procurement of good and services for the success of their mission. This includes but is not limited to contract negotiation, LaCarte purchases, creation of purchase orders, MOU's, and general procurement package submission to the Division of Administration. This initiative will help centralize the procurement of items across the state, which will provide consistency and an expedient method to procuring goods and services.

**Indicator Name:** Number of purchase orders closed by TBS **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** New

- 1. Type and level: Outcome; Supporting
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Provides a measure of the TBS workload in support of LSP business practices.
- 3. Use: For internal division management purposes
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- Data Source, Collection and Reporting: Source: Internal log/database Collection: Monthly Reporting: Semi-annually
- **6.** Calculation Methodology: Simple tracking of purchase orders completed on an internal log; addition.
- 7. Scope: Disaggregate
- 8. Caveats/Limitations: None
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.

# **10. Responsible Person:**

Program: Operational Support

**Objective:** VII.1. The Technology and Business Support section will assist all Troops/Sections with the procurement of good and services for the success of their mission. This includes but is not limited to contract negotiation, LaCarte purchases, creation of purchase orders, MOU's, and general procurement package submission to the Division of Administration. This initiative will help centralize the procurement of items across the state, which will provide consistency and an expedient method to procuring goods and services.

Indicator Name: Total amount of all purchases Indicator LaGov PI Code: New

- 1. Type and level: Outcome; General
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Provides a measure of the TBS workload in support of LSP business practices.
- 3. Use: For internal division management purposes
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Internal log/database **Collection:** Monthly **Reporting:** Annually

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: Simple tracking of total amount of purchases processed through TBS.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate
- 8. Caveats/Limitations: None
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.
- 10. Responsible Person:

Program: Operational Support

**Objective:** VII.1. The Technology and Business Support section will assist all Troops/Sections with the procurement of good and services for the success of their mission. This includes but is not limited to contract negotiation, LaCarte purchases, creation of purchase orders, MOU's, and general procurement package submission to the Division of Administration. This initiative will help centralize the procurement of items across the state, which will provide consistency and an expedient method to procuring goods and services.

**Indicator Name:** Number of technology help tickets processed **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** New

- 1. Type and level: Efficiency; Supporting
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Provides a measure of the TBS workload in support of LSP business practices.
- 3. Use: For internal division management purposes
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

Source: Monday.com/Internal log Collection: Monthly Reporting: Semi-annually

- 6. Calculation Methodology: Simple tracking of technology help tickets processed
- 7. Scope: Aggregate
- 8. Caveats/Limitations: None
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: There are no issues with accuracy, maintenance, or support.
- **10. Responsible Person:**

# **Program D: Gaming Enforcement Program**

# MISSION

The Gaming Enforcement Program is committed to the proactive regulation and control of statutorily authorized gaming entities in conjunction with the Louisiana Gaming Control Board. The regulation of gaming and the enforcement of criminal laws promote the public's health, safety, and welfare by safeguarding the people of this state against corrupt and dishonest practices. The Program also provides professional services in an effective, innovative, and fair manner that instills public confidence, while fulfilling duties that ensure accurate revenue collection and reporting from licensees, protect the state's assets, and ensure the integrity of all gaming devices and systems by enforcing compliance with all state laws, regulations, and licensee's internal controls.

# GOAL I

I. Ensure the protection of the people of this state against corrupt and dishonest practices in the gaming industry.

### **OBJECTIVE I.1**

I.1 To ensure compliance with statutes and rules, conduct at least one regulatory inspection of each licensed Video Draw Poker establishment each fiscal year through June 30, 2031.

### STRATEGIES

I.1.1 Conduct Video Draw Poker regulatory inspections.

I.1.2 Conduct underage compliance details.

### PERFORMANCE INDICATOR

Number of Video Draw Poker compliance inspections conducted Percentage of Video Draw Poker compliance inspections that resulted in a violation being issued

### **OBJECTIVE I.2**

I.2 To annually perform 100% of the inspections in the Casino Compliance Inspection Plan through June 30, 2031, ensuring that each casino complies with statutes, rules, and internal controls.

### STRATEGIES

I.2.1 Annually review the Casino Compliance Inspection Plan and make updates as necessary to ensure all gaming operations comply with statutes, rules, and internal controls.

I.2.2 Review all Gaming Revenue Summaries, reconcile the summaries to the source documents submitted by gaming operations, and verify receipt of gaming fees and taxes with Management & Finance and Louisiana Treasury.

### PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Number of gaming inspections completed Percentage of inspections completed in accordance with the Casino Compliance Inspection Plan Percentage of Gaming inspections that resulted in a violation being issued.

### **OBJECTIVE I.3**

I.3 To continue processing new Video Draw Poker Type 1 and Type 2 approval applications within the targeted 45-day time frame. To continue processing new Video Draw Poker Type 3 through Type 8 applications within the 180-day targeted time frame, through June 30, 2031.

### STRATEGIES

I.3.1 Transition all gaming applications from paper submission to an interactive online format.

I.3.2 Annually review application processing procedures and make updates as necessary to ensure all aspects of the application processing are efficient.

### PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Average number of days to complete the processing of a new Video Draw Poker Type 1 and Type 2 approval application Average number of days to complete the processing of a new Video Draw Poker Type 3 through Type 8 approval application

# **OBJECTIVE I.4**

I.4 To reduce gaming-related crime by increasing criminal enforcement activities by 2% each fiscal year through June 30, 2031.

# STRATEGIES

I.4.1 Troopers respond to gaming-related criminal activity identified at licensed gaming locations within the state.

I.4.2 Identify and investigate illegal gambling activity by analyzing current trends and intelligence throughout the state and gaming industry.

I.4.3 Provide training to Division personnel to enhance casino-related crime detection.

# **PERFORMANCE INDICATORS**

Number of individuals arrested by the Gaming Enforcement Division Percentage of individuals arrested as a result of illegal gambling-related activity

# GOAL II

II. Ensure the integrity of gaming devices and systems.

# **OBJECTIVE II.1**

II.1 To certify each casino slot system at least twice a year and to conduct random certifications of other gaming-related systems quarterly to ensure that the systems are running approved software and accurately reporting meters, through June 30, 2031.

### STRATEGIES

II.1.1 Verify changes made to slot systems are approved prior to implementation.

II.1.2 Monitor the status of software as recommended by a designated testing laboratory.

II.1.3 Identify and replace problem software.

II.1.4 Perform inspections of electronic gaming devices to ensure revoked software is removed from gaming devices.

### PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Number of electronic gaming devices randomly inspected Percentage of electronic gaming devices inspected Number of slot system certifications completed

### **OBJECTIVE II.2**

II.2 To ensure that 100% of all electronic gaming devices at all licensed video draw poker establishments are running approved software and are accurately reporting meters, through June 30, 2031. To ensure that all video draw poker device owner warehouses are inspected during each year.

### STRATEGIES

II.2.1 Conduct state-wide warehouse inspections, determining machine and parts compliance with applicable laws, rules and storage requirements.

II.2.2 Review and process new enrollment and location coordinated move submissions.

II.2.3 Verify that the game software signature matches the approved system software.

II.2.4 Monitor the status of software as recommended by a designated testing laboratory.

II.2.5 Review variance reports and reconcile with hard meters.

II.2.6 Identify and replace problem software.

II.2.7 Ensure that revoked software is removed from the operation.

# PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Number of enabled video draw poker devices Number of Video Draw Poker Device owner warehouse inspections Number of new location enrollments processed Number of location coordinated moves processed

**Program:** Gaming Enforcement

**Objective:** I.1 To ensure compliance with statutes and rules, conduct at least one regulatory inspection of each Video Draw Poker establishment each fiscal year through June 30, 2031.

**Indicator Name:** Number of Video Draw Poker compliance inspections conducted **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** 11023

- 1. Type and Level: Efficiency; Key
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Measures the number of Video Gaming compliance inspections conducted and completed at all gaming establishments.
- 3. Use: For budget and internal Division management purposes.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Inspections are documented through an internal LIGHTS database. **Collection:** Inspections are documented on a daily basis or on completion of an inspection.

**Reporting:** Inspections are reported on a semi-annual basis.

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: All gaming field offices are required to input all compliance inspections into a LIGHTS database. The compliance inspections are totaled electronically by administrative personnel.
- **7. Scope:** Indicators are collected and aggregated statewide. However, internal procedures provide the capability to break down performance indicator by region, office, location, and investigator.
- 8. Caveats: N/A
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: Reports are maintained by the Division's internal reporting procedures. All inspections are documented in the LIGHTS (Louisiana Integrated Gaming History Tracking System) Database.

### **10. Responsible Person:**

Name/Title: Major Adam Albright Phone: 225-922-2539 Email: <u>Adam.Albright@la.gov</u>

### **Program:** Gaming Enforcement

**Objective:** I.1 To ensure compliance with statutes and rules, conduct at least one regulatory inspection of each Video Draw Poker establishment each fiscal year through June 30, 2031.

**Indicator Name:** Percentage of Video Draw Poker compliance inspections that resulted in a violation being issued

Indicator LaGov PI Code: 26048

- 1. Type and Level: Outcome; Supporting
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Compares the total number of Video Gaming compliance inspections completed to the number of violations discovered.
- 3. Use: For budget and internal Division management purposes.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.

# 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Inspections are documented through an internal LIGHTS database. **Collection:** Inspections are documented on a daily basis or on completion of an inspection.

**Reporting:** Inspections are reported on a semi-annually basis.

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: All gaming field offices are required to input all compliance inspections into a LIGHTS database. The compliance inspections and violations are totaled electronically by administrative personnel.
- **7. Scope:** Indicators are collected and aggregated statewide. However, internal procedures provide the capability to break down performance indicator by region, o ffice, location, and investigator.
- 8. Caveats: N/A
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: Reports are maintained by the Division's internal reporting procedures. All inspections are documented in the LIGHTS (Louisiana Integrated Gaming History Tracking System) Database.

### **10. Responsible Person:**

Name/Title: Major Adam Albright Phone: 225-922-2539 Email: <u>Adam.Albright@la.gov</u>

**Program:** Gaming Enforcement

**Objective:** I.2 To annually perform 100% of the inspections in the Casino Compliance Inspection Plan through June 30, 2031, ensuring that each casino complies with statutes, rules, and internal controls.

Indicator Name: Number of gaming inspections completed Indicator LaGov PI Code: 25500

- 1. Type and Level: Output; Supporting
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Measures the number of casino gaming compliance inspections conducted and completed at all gaming establishments by Division Agents.
- 3. Use: For budget and internal Division management purposes
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Inspections are documented in the Mark43 RMS. **Collection:** Inspections are documented daily or upon completion of an inspection. **Reporting:** Semi-annually

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: All gaming field offices are required to input all compliance inspections into the RMS. The compliance inspections are totaled at the district office. All district's totals are added to get the total for the Division.
- **7. Scope:** Indicators are collected and aggregated statewide. However, internal procedures provide the capability to break down performance indicator by region, office, location, and investigator.
- 8. Caveats: N/A
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: Reports are maintained by the Division's internal reporting procedures. All inspections are documented in the RMS.

#### **10. Responsible Person:**

Name/Title: Major Adam Albright Phone: 225-922-2539 Email: Adam.Albright@la.gov

#### **Program:** Gaming Enforcement

**Objective:** I.2 To annually perform 100% of the inspections in the Casino Compliance Inspection Plan through June 30, 2031, ensuring that each casino complies with statutes, rules, and internal controls.

**Indicator Name:** Percentage of inspections completed in accordance with the Casino Compliance Inspection Plan

Indicator LaGov PI Code: 25501

- 1. Type and Level: Efficiency; Key
- 2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability: Compares the number of gaming compliance inspections conducted to the number of inspections required by the Gaming Compliance Inspection Plan.
- 3. Use: For budget and internal Division management purposes
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.

#### 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Inspections are documented in the Mark43 RMS. **Collection:** Inspections are documented daily or on completion of an inspection. **Reporting:** Quarterly

- **6. Calculation Methodology:** All gaming field offices are required to input all compliance inspections into the RMS. The compliance inspections are totaled by the district office. All district's totals are added to get the total for the Division.
- **7. Scope:** Indicators are collected and aggregated statewide. However, internal procedures provide the capability to break down performance indicator by region, office, location, and investigator.
- 8. Caveats: N/A
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: Reports are maintained by the Division's internal reporting procedures. All inspections are documented in the RMS.

#### **10. Responsible Person:**

Program: Gaming Enforcement

**Objective:** I.2 To annually perform 100% of the inspections in the Casino Compliance Inspection Plan through June 30, 2030, ensuring that each casino complies with statutes, rules, and internal controls.

**Indicator Name:** Percentage of Gaming inspections that resulted in of a violation being issued. **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** 25502

- 1. Type and Level: Outcome; Key
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Measures the percentage of gaming inspections resulting in the discovery of violations.
- 3. Use: For budget and internal Division management purposes.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Inspections are documented through the RMS. **Collection:** Inspections are documented daily or upon completion of an inspection. **Reporting:** Inspections are reported on a quarterly basis.

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: All Gaming field offices are required to input compliance inspections into the RMS. The total compliance inspections conducted as well as the number of inspections resulting in violations are manually calculated by the district office in order to report the percentage of inspections resulting in violations.
- **7. Scope:** Indicators are collected and aggregated statewide. However, internal procedures provide the capability to break down performance indicator by region, office, location, and investigator.
- 8. Caveats: N/A
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: Reports are maintained by the Division's internal reporting procedures. All inspections are documented in the Mark43 RMS.

#### 10. Responsible Person:

#### **Program:** Gaming Enforcement

**Objective:** I.3 To continue processing new Video Draw Poker Type 1 and Type 2 approval applications within the targeted 45-day time frame. To continue processing new Video Draw Poker Type 3 through Type 8 applications within the 180 day targeted time frame, through June 30, 2031.

**Indicator Name:** Average number of days to complete the processing of a new Video Draw Poker Type 1 and Type 2 approval application **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** 20918

- **1. Type and Level:** Efficiency; Key
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Measures the number of days to process an approved Video Draw Poker Type 1 and Type 2 application and compares that number to the total of approval applications received.
- 3. Use: For budget and internal Division management purposes
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Assignments are documented in the RMS. **Collection:** Assignments are documented on a daily basis. **Reporting:** Quarterly

- 6. Calculation Methodology: All gaming field offices are required to input all application assignments into the RMS. The database documents investigation times for each assignment. The assignments are totaled electronically by district office. All district's totals are added to get the total for the Division.
- **7. Scope:** Indicators are collected and aggregated statewide. However, internal procedures provide the capability to break down performance indicator by region, office, location, and investigator.
- 8. Caveats: N/A
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: Reports are maintained by the Division's internal reporting procedures. All inspections are documented in the RMS.
- **10. Responsible Person:**

## **Program:** Gaming Enforcement

**Objective:** I.3 To continue processing new Video Draw Poker Type 1 and Type 2 approval applications within the targeted 45-day time frame. To continue processing new Video Draw Poker Type 3 through Type 8 applications within the 180 day targeted time frame, through June 30, 2031.

**Indicator Name:** Average number of days to complete the processing of a new Video Draw Poker Type 3 through Type 8 approval application **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** 25503

- 1. Type and Level: Efficiency; Supporting
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Measures the number of days to process an approved Video Draw Poker Type 3 through Type 8 application and compares that number to the total of approval applications received.
- 3. Use: For budget and internal Division management purposes
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.

## 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Assignments are documented in the RMS. **Collection:** Assignments are documented on a daily basis. **Reporting:** Semi-annually

- 6. Calculation Methodology: All gaming field offices are required to input all application assignments into the RMS. The database documents investigation times for each assignment. The assignments are totaled electronically by district office. All district's totals are added to get the total for the Division.
- **7. Scope:** Indicators are collected and aggregated statewide. However, internal procedures provide the capability to break down performance indicator by region, office, location, and investigator.
- 8. Caveats: N/A
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: Reports are maintained by the Division's internal reporting procedures. All inspections are documented in the Case Management Database.

## **10. Responsible Person:**

**Program:** Gaming Enforcement

**Objective:** I.4 To reduce gaming-related crime by increasing criminal enforcement activities by 2% each fiscal year through June 30, 2031.

**Indicator Name:** Number of individuals arrested by the Gaming Enforcement Division **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** 25845

- 1. Type and Level: Output; General
- 2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability: Measures the number of criminal arrests
- 3. Use: For budget and internal Division management purposes.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Arrests are documented through the RMS. **Collection:** Arrests are documented on a daily basis or on completion of an investigation. **Reporting:** Arrests are reported on an annual basis.

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: All Division field offices are required to input all criminal arrests into the RMS. The arrests are totaled electronically by administrative personnel.
- **7. Scope:** Indicators are collected and aggregated statewide. However, internal procedures provide the capability to break down performance indicator by region, office, location, and investigator.

## 8. Caveats: N/A

**9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: Reports are maintained by the Division's internal reporting procedures. Arrests are documented in the RMS.

## **10. Responsible Person:**

**Program:** Gaming Enforcement

Objective: I.4 To reduce gaming-related crime by increasing criminal enforcement activities by 2% each fiscal year through June 30, 2031.

**Indicator Name:** Percentage of individuals arrested as a result of illegal gambling-related activity

Indicator LaGov PI Code: 25846

- 1. Type and Level: Efficiency; General
- 2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability: Measures the number of illegal gambling arrests and compares them to the total number of arrests made by the Gaming Enforcement Division.
- **3.** Use: For budget and internal Division management purposes.
- **4.** Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Arrests are documented through the RMS. **Collection:** Arrests are documented on a daily basis or on completion of an investigation.

**Reporting:** Arrests are reported on a quarterly basis.

- 6. Calculation Methodology: All Division field offices are required to input all criminal arrests into the RMS. The arrests are totaled electronically by administrative personnel.
- 7. Scope: Indicators are collected and aggregated statewide. However, internal procedures provide the capability to break down performance indicator by region, office, location, and investigator.

## 8. Caveats: N/A

9. Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: Reports are maintained by the Division's internal reporting procedures. Arrests are documented through the RMS.

## **10. Responsible Person:**

#### **Program:** Gaming Enforcement

**Objective:** II.1 To certify each casino slot system at least twice a year and to conduct random certifications of other gaming-related systems quarterly to ensure that the systems are running approved software and accurately reporting meters through June 30, 2031.

## Indicator Name: Number of electronic gaming devices randomly inspected Indicator LaGov PI Code: 25504

- 1. Type and Level: Output; Supporting
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Measures the number of electronic gaming devices randomly inspected.
- 3. Use: For budget and internal Division management purposes.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** The electronic gaming device inspection reports are recorded in the RMS. **Collection:** Inspections are documented daily or upon completion of the inspection. **Reporting:** Inspections are reported semi-annually.

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: All Division field offices are required to input all inspections in the RMS. The number of inspections is totaled by the field office and accumulated for a statewide division number.
- **7. Scope:** Indicators are collected and aggregated statewide. However, internal procedures provide the capability to break down performance indicator by region, office, location, and investigator.

## 8. Caveats: N/A

**9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: Reports are maintained by the Division's internal reporting procedures. All electronic gaming devices inspections are reported quarterly to the Administrative Section by a Tech Supervisor.

## **10. Responsible Person:**

#### **Program:** Gaming Enforcement

**Objective:** II.1 To certify each casino slot system at least twice a year and to conduct random certifications of other gaming-related systems quarterly to ensure that the systems are running approved software and accurately reporting meters through June 30, 2031.

Indicator Name: Percentage of electronic gaming devices inspected Indicator LaGov PI Code: 25505

- 1. Type and Level: Efficiency; Key
- 2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability: Measures the total number of electronic gaming devices and compares them to the number of electronic gaming devices randomly inspected.
- 3. Use: For budget and internal Division management purposes.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.

#### 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** The electronic gaming device inspection reports are recorded in the RMS. Annually, the Division determines the number of EGDs based on quarterly reports submitted by the licensees and posted to the Division's website to calculate the percentage of games randomly inspected.

**Collection:** Inspections are documented daily or upon completion of the inspection. **Reporting:** Inspections are reported quarterly.

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: All Division field offices are required to record all inspections in the RMS. Each field office maintains records of the number of games inspected during each random inspection. These figures are totaled by each division field office and accumulated to provide a statewide number of games inspected.
- **7. Scope:** Indicators are collected and aggregated statewide. However, internal procedures provide the capability to break down performance indicator by region, office, location, and investigator.
- 8. Caveats: N/A
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: Reports are maintained by the Division's internal reporting procedures. All electronic gaming devices inspections are reported quarterly to the Administrative Section by a Tech Supervisor.

#### **10. Responsible Person:**

#### **Program:** Gaming Enforcement

**Objective:** II.1 To certify each casino slot system at least twice a year and to conduct random certifications of other gaming-related systems quarterly to ensure that the systems are running approved software and accurately reporting meters through June 30, 2031.

**Indicator Name:** Number of slot system certifications completed **Indicator LaGov PI Code:** 25506

- 1. Type and Level: Output; Supporting
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Measures the number of slot system certifications and certifications of other systems completed by techs.
- 3. Use: For budget and internal Division management purposes.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.

#### 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Slot system certifications are recorded in the RMS. **Collection:** Certifications are documented daily or upon completion of the certification.

**Reporting:** Slot system certifications are reported semi-annually.

- **6. Calculation Methodology:** All Gaming field offices are required to record certifications in The RMS. Each field office maintains records of the number of games inspected during each random inspection. These figures are totaled by each division field office and accumulated to provide a statewide number of games inspected.
- **7. Scope:** Indicators are collected and aggregated statewide. However, internal procedures provide the capability to break down performance indicator by region, office, location, and investigator.
- 8. Caveats: N/A
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: Reports are maintained by the Division's internal reporting procedures. All slot system certifications are reported quarterly to the Administrative Section by a Tech Supervisor.

#### **10. Responsible Person:**

## **Program:** Gaming Enforcement

**Objective:** II.2 To ensure that 100% of all electronic gaming devices at all licensed video draw poker establishments are running approved software and are accurately reporting meters, through June 30, 2031. To ensure that all video draw poker device owner warehouses are inspected during each year.

Indicator Name: Number of enabled video draw poker devices Indicator LaGov PI Code: 25507

- 1. Type and Level: Input; Supporting
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Determines the number of enabled Video Draw Poker Gaming devices.
- 3. Use: For budget and internal Division management purposes.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Video Poker Central Computer System (Intelligent System) **Collection:** All device-related transactions are maintained and updated daily. **Reporting:** Ad hoc reports are assembled and adapted for a specific purpose as needed for informational requirements, semi-annually.

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: The Gaming Technical Staff is required to input all devicerelated transactions, which are collectively refined and electronically compiled by administrative personnel.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate
- 8. Caveats: N/A
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: Information is maintained by the Division's central computer system (Intelligen System).

## 10. Responsible Person:

#### **Program:** Gaming Enforcement

**Objective:** II.2 To ensure that 100% of all electronic gaming devices at all licensed video draw poker establishments are running approved software and are accurately reporting meters, through June 30, 2031. To ensure that all video draw poker device owner warehouses are inspected during each year.

Indicator Name: Number of Video Draw Poker Device owner warehouse inspections Indicator LaGov PI Code: 26049

- 1. Type and Level: Efficiency; Key
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Measures the number of video draw poker warehouses for yearly inspection.
- 3. Use: For budget and internal Division management purposes.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Video Draw Poker Warehouse Database.

**Collection:** All inspection related transactions are maintained and updated immediately upon inspection completion.

**Reporting:** Reports are assembled and adapted for the specific purpose as needed for informational requirements, quarterly.

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: The Technical Section is required to input all warehouse inspections in the Device Owner warehouse database. The percentage of completed and pending warehouse inspections is available in the Lights database for reporting purposes.
- 7. Scope: Aggregate
- 8. Caveats: N/A
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: Information is maintained by the Division's central computer system (Intelligen System) and the Video Draw Poker Warehouse Database.

#### **10. Responsible Person:**

#### **Program:** Gaming Enforcement

**Objective:** II.2 To ensure that 100% of all electronic gaming devices at all licensed video draw poker establishments are running approved software and are accurately reporting meters, through June 30, 2031. To ensure that all video draw poker device owner warehouses are inspected during each year.

Indicator Name: Number of new location enrollments processed Indicator LaGov PI Code: 26050

- 1. Type and Level: Outcome; Supporting
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Measures the number of new locations enrollments processed.
- 3. Use: For budget and internal Division management purposes.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Video Poker shared drive spreadsheet (Central Ops). **Collection:** All enrollment transactions are maintained and updated immediately upon completion.

**Reporting:** Reports are assembled and adapted for the specific purpose as needed for information requirements, semi-annually.

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: The Technical Section is required to track by individual performance all new location enrollments that are processed. Simple addition.
- 7. Scope: Disaggregate
- 8. Caveats: N/A
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: Information is maintained in the Central Ops application on a shared drive spreadsheet.

#### **10. Responsible Person:**

**Program:** Gaming Enforcement

**Objective:** II.2 To ensure that 100% of all electronic gaming devices at all licensed video draw poker establishments are running approved software and are accurately reporting meters, through June 30, 2031. To ensure that all video draw poker device owner warehouses are inspected during each year.

Indicator Name: Number of location coordinated moves processed Indicator LaGov PI Code: 26051

- 1. Type and Level: Outcome; Supporting
- **2. Rationale, Relevance, Reliability:** Measures the number of location coordinated moves processed.
- 3. Use: For budget and internal Division management purposes.
- 4. Clarity: The indicator name clearly identifies what is being measured.
- 5. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

**Source:** Video Poker shared drive spreadsheet (Central Ops). **Collection:** All coordinated move related transactions are maintained and updated immediately upon completion. **Reporting:** Reports are assembled and adapted for the specific purpose as needed for information requirements, semi-annually.

- **6.** Calculation Methodology: The Technical Section is required to track by individual performance all location coordinated move enrollments that are processed. Simple addition.
- 7. Scope: Disaggregate
- 8. Caveats: N/A
- **9.** Accuracy, Maintenance, Support: Information is maintained in the Central Ops application on a shared drive.
- **10. Responsible Person:**

<b>Strategy I.1.1:</b> Establish preventative patrols to deal with specific categories of unlawful driving behaviors.		
Analysis	<ul> <li>Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted</li> <li>Other Analysis Used</li> <li>Impact on Other Strategies Considered</li> </ul>	
☑ Authorization	<ul> <li>Authorization Exists</li> <li>Authorization Needed</li> </ul>	
Organization Capacity	<ul> <li>Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified</li> <li>Resource Needs Identified</li> </ul>	
☑ Time Frame	<ul> <li>Already Ongoing</li> <li>New Startup Date Estimated</li> <li>Lifetime of Strategy Identified</li> </ul>	
☑ Fiscal Impact	<ul> <li>Impact on Operating Budget</li> <li>Impact on Capital Outlay</li> <li>Means of Finance Identified</li> </ul>	

**Strategy I.1.2:** Continue utilizing the TrafficStat Program, along with data collected through LSP's computer-aided dispatch system, to compile annual collision experience data statewide to determine assignment of personnel.

ucit	finite assignment of personner.		
Ø	Analysis	□ ☑ ☑ Coi	Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted Other Analysis Used Impact on Other Strategies nsidered
$\leq$	Authorization	$\leq$	Authorization Exists
			Authorization Needed
R	Organization Capacity		Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified Resource Needs Identified
$\checkmark$	Time Frame	$\checkmark$	Already Ongoing
			New Startup Date Estimated
			Lifetime of Strategy Identified
$\leq$	Fiscal Impact	K	Impact on Operating Budget
			Impact on Capital Outlay
		$\checkmark$	Means of Finance Identified

**Strategy I.1.3:** Implement assignment of personnel at the time when and to the locations where analyses have shown that a significant number of violations and/or collisions involving impaired drivers have occurred.

mp			
Ŋ	Analysis	□ ☑ ☑ Con	Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted Other Analysis Used Impact on Other Strategies nsidered
$\checkmark$	Authorization	$\checkmark$	Authorization Exists
			Authorization Needed
Ø	Organization Capacity		Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified Resource Needs Identified
$\checkmark$	Time Frame	$\leq$	Already Ongoing
			New Startup Date Estimated
			Lifetime of Strategy Identified
$\leq$	Fiscal Impact	N	Impact on Operating Budget
			Impact on Capital Outlay
		$\checkmark$	Means of Finance Identified

	STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST			
	TRAFFIC ENFORCI	EME	INT PROGRAM	
Strate	Strategy I.1.4: Work in conjunction with Department of Transportation and Development			
	D) to maximize the capabilities of the Traff			
	Analysis		Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted Other Analysis Used	
		$\checkmark$	Impact on Other Strategies	
		Co	nsidered	
	Authorization	$\mathbf{V}$	Authorization Exists	
			Authorization Needed	
	Organization Capacity		Needed Structural or Procedural	
			Change(s) Identified	
		$\checkmark$	Resource Needs Identified	
	г. г.			
	Гіme Frame	$\checkmark$	Already Ongoing	
			New Startup Date Estimated	
			Lifetime of Strategy Identified	
☑ I	Fiscal Impact	$\checkmark$	Impact on Operating Budget	
			Impact on Capital Outlay	
		$\checkmark$	Means of Finance Identified	

	STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST TRAFFIC ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM			
Stra	ategy I.1.5: Continue selective DWI checkp	oints	and enforcement.	
Ŋ	Analysis	□ ☑ ☑ Coi	Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted Other Analysis Used Impact on Other Strategies nsidered	
$\checkmark$	Authorization	$\checkmark$	Authorization Exists	
			Authorization Needed	
Ø	Organization Capacity		Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified Resource Needs Identified	
$\checkmark$	Time Frame	$\checkmark$	Already Ongoing	
			New Startup Date Estimated	
			Lifetime of Strategy Identified	
Я	Fiscal Impact		Impact on Operating Budget Impact on Capital Outlay Means of Finance Identified	

	STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST TRAFFIC ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM			
	ategy I.1.6: Continue selective seatbelt chec	kpoi	nts and enforcement.	
Ŋ	Analysis	□ ☑ ☑ Co	Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted Other Analysis Used Impact on Other Strategies nsidered	
$\checkmark$	Authorization	$\checkmark$	Authorization Exists	
			Authorization Needed	
R	Organization Capacity		Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified Resource Needs Identified	
$\checkmark$	Time Frame	$\leq$	Already Ongoing	
			New Startup Date Estimated	
			Lifetime of Strategy Identified	
Ŋ	Fiscal Impact		Impact on Operating Budget Impact on Capital Outlay Means of Finance Identified	

Stra	ategy I.1.7: Implement an effective statev P		Drug Recognition Expert (DRE) cam.	
Z	Analysis		Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted Other Analysis Used Impact on Other Strategies nsidered	
Ø	Authorization		Authorization Exists Authorization Needed	
	Organization Capacity		Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified Resource Needs Identified	
	Time Frame		Already Ongoing New Startup Date Estimated Lifetime of Strategy Identified	
Ø	Fiscal Impact		Impact on Operating Budget Impact on Capital Outlay Means of Finance Identified	

STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST TRAFFIC ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM			
Stra	tegy II.1.1: Conduct patrols of high traffic	corri	idors, construction zones, and other
	aced speed zones specifically targeting aggre	essiv	e driving, tailgaters, speeders and other
violations.			
$\checkmark$	Analysis		Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted
		$\checkmark$	Other Analysis Used
		$\checkmark$	Impact on Other Strategies
		Co	nsidered
$\checkmark$	Authorization	$\checkmark$	Authorization Exists
			Authorization Needed
$\checkmark$	Organization Capacity		Needed Structural or Procedural
			Change(s) Identified
		$\checkmark$	Resource Needs Identified
$\checkmark$	Time Frame	$\checkmark$	Already Ongoing
			New Startup Date Estimated
			Lifetime of Strategy Identified
$\mathbf{V}$	Fiscal Impact	К	Impact on Operating Budget
			Impact on Capital Outlay
		$\checkmark$	Means of Finance Identified

# STRATECV ANALVSIS CHECKLIST

Г

	STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST TRAFFIC ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM			
hum	<b>itegy II.1.2:</b> Conduct nighttime and off-hom nan trafficking, drug trafficking and other cr oval of fatigued, impaired, or drugged drive	imin		
Ŋ	Analysis	□ ☑ ☑ Co	Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted Other Analysis Used Impact on Other Strategies nsidered	
Ø	Authorization		Authorization Exists Authorization Needed	
Ø	Organization Capacity		Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified Resource Needs Identified	
Ø	Time Frame		Already Ongoing New Startup Date Estimated Lifetime of Strategy Identified	
Ø	Fiscal Impact		Impact on Operating Budget Impact on Capital Outlay Means of Finance Identified	

Strategy II.1.3: Conduct new entrant safety audits and compliance reviews on commercial		
motor carriers.		
☑ Analysis	<ul> <li>Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted</li> <li>Other Analysis Used</li> <li>Impact on Other Strategies</li> <li>Considered</li> </ul>	
☑ Authorization	$\blacksquare$ Authorization Exists	
	□ Authorization Needed	
Organization Capacity	<ul> <li>Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified</li> <li>Resource Needs Identified</li> </ul>	
☑ Time Frame	Already Ongoing	
	New Startup Date Estimated	
	□ Lifetime of Strategy Identified	
Fiscal Impact	Impact on Operating Budget	
	Impact on Capital Outlay	
	$\square$ Means of Finance Identified	

	STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST				
	TRAFFIC ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM				
	<b>ategy II.1.4:</b> Continue educational program ustry regarding issues that affect driver and				
	Analysis	□ Co ☑ O	ost Benefit Analysis Conducted ther Analysis Used npact on Other Strategies		
Ŋ	Authorization		uthorization Exists athorization Needed		
Ø	Organization Capacity	Ch	eeded Structural or Procedural ange(s) Identified esource Needs Identified		
$\triangleleft$	Time Frame	_	lready Ongoing		
			ew Startup Date Estimated Fetime of Strategy Identified		
3	Fiscal Impact	🗌 Im	npact on Operating Budget pact on Capital Outlay leans of Finance Identified		

	STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST			
	TRAFFIC ENFORCI	CEMENT PROGRAM		
	<b>tegy II.2.1</b> Conduct patrols of state phasis on overweight commercial vehicle	e and federal highways with enforcement les.		
Ŋ	Analysis	<ul> <li>Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted</li> <li>Other Analysis Used</li> <li>Impact on Other Strategies</li> <li>Considered</li> </ul>		
Ø	Authorization	<ul><li>Authorization Exists</li><li>Authorization Needed</li></ul>		
Ø	Organization Capacity	<ul> <li>Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified</li> <li>Resource Needs Identified</li> </ul>		
	Time Frame	<ul> <li>Already Ongoing</li> <li>New Startup Date Estimated</li> <li>Lifetime of Strategy Identified</li> </ul>		
Ø	Fiscal Impact	<ul> <li>Impact on Operating Budget</li> <li>Impact on Capital Outlay</li> <li>Means of Finance Identified</li> </ul>		

	STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST				
	CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM				
		iblic awareness by providing informational			
	nues to report criminal activities.	1			
S	Analysis	<ul> <li>Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted</li> <li>Other Analysis Used</li> <li>Impact on Other Strategies</li> <li>Considered</li> </ul>			
$\triangleleft$	Authorization	$\blacksquare$ Authorization Exists			
		□ Authorization Needed			
Ø	Organization Capacity	<ul> <li>Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified</li> <li>Resource Needs Identified</li> </ul>			
$\checkmark$	Time Frame	Already Ongoing			
		New Startup Date Estimated			
		□ Lifetime of Strategy Identified			
$\checkmark$	Fiscal Impact	Impact on Operating Budget			
		Impact on Capital Outlay			
		$\blacksquare$ Means of Finance Identified			

STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST					
	CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM				
-		ology	y to enhance criminal investigations and		
	tection of criminal activity.				
	nalysis	□ ☑ ☑ Co:	Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted Other Analysis Used Impact on Other Strategies nsidered		
☑ A	uthorization	K	Authorization Exists		
			Authorization Needed		
0 🛛	rganization Capacity	Ŋ	Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified Resource Needs Identified		
🗹 Ti	ime Frame	$\checkmark$	Already Ongoing		
			New Startup Date Estimated		
			Lifetime of Strategy Identified		
🗹 Fi	iscal Impact	K	Impact on Operating Budget		
			Impact on Capital Outlay		
		$\checkmark$	Means of Finance Identified		

	STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST			
	CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM			
Stra	ategy I.1.3 Increase educational presentat	tions relative to reducing violent crime.		
Ø	Analysis	<ul> <li>Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted</li> <li>Other Analysis Used</li> <li>Impact on Other Strategies</li> <li>Considered</li> </ul>		
$\checkmark$	Authorization	$\blacksquare$ Authorization Exists		
		□ Authorization Needed		
Ŋ	Organization Capacity	<ul> <li>Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified</li> <li>Resource Needs Identified</li> </ul>		
$\leq$	Time Frame	Already Ongoing		
		New Startup Date Estimated		
		□ Lifetime of Strategy Identified		
Z	Fiscal Impact	☑ Impact on Operating Budget		
		☐ Impact on Capital Outlay		
		Means of Finance Identified		

	STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST				
	CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM				
Stra	ategy I.2.1. Increase effective communica	tion with other agencies.			
Ø	Analysis	<ul> <li>Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted</li> <li>Other Analysis Used</li> <li>Impact on Other Strategies</li> <li>Considered</li> </ul>			
$\checkmark$	Authorization	☑ Authorization Exists			
		□ Authorization Needed			
Ø	Organization Capacity	<ul> <li>Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified</li> <li>Resource Needs Identified</li> </ul>			
$\checkmark$	Time Frame	Already Ongoing			
		New Startup Date Estimated			
		□ Lifetime of Strategy Identified			
Ø	Fiscal Impact	<ul> <li>Impact on Operating Budget</li> <li>Impact on Capital Outlay</li> <li>Means of Finance Identified</li> </ul>			

	STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST				
	CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM				
Strate	gy II.1.1 Require investigators to inc	creas	se assistance to other law enforcement		
agenci					
☑ A	nalysis		Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted		
		Z	Other Analysis Used		
			Impact on Other Strategies		
		Co	nsidered		
☑ A	Authorization	$\checkmark$	Authorization Exists		
		П	Authorization Needed		
			Autorization Accuca		
☑ C	Organization Capacity		Needed Structural or Procedural		
			Change(s) Identified		
		$\checkmark$	Resource Needs Identified		
🗹 Т	ime Frame	$\checkmark$	Already Ongoing		
			New Startup Date Estimated		
			Lifetime of Strategy Identified		
☑ F:	iscal Impact	$\checkmark$	Impact on Operating Budget		
			Impact on Capital Outlay		
		$\checkmark$	Means of Finance Identified		

	STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST			
	CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM			
Stra	Strategy II.1.2 Increase assistance and cooperation with non-law enforcement agencies			
to in	nclude private industry and local, state, a	and federal agencies.		
	Analysis	<ul> <li>Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted</li> <li>Other Analysis Used</li> <li>Impact on Other Strategies</li> <li>Considered</li> </ul>		
$\checkmark$	Authorization	Authorization Exists		
		□ Authorization Needed		
Ø	Organization Capacity	<ul> <li>Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified</li> <li>Resource Needs Identified</li> </ul>		
$\checkmark$	Time Frame	Already Ongoing		
		New Startup Date Estimated		
		□ Lifetime of Strategy Identified		
S	Fiscal Impact	<ul> <li>Impact on Operating Budget</li> <li>Impact on Capital Outlay</li> <li>Means of Finance Identified</li> </ul>		

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM

**Strategy II.1.3** Enhance capabilities of the Fusion Center as a centralized point for compiling all available information sources relating to homeland security.

	Analysis	<ul> <li>Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted</li> <li>Other Analysis Used</li> <li>Impact on Other Strategies</li> <li>Considered</li> </ul>
$\checkmark$	Authorization	<ul><li>Authorization Exists</li><li>Authorization Needed</li></ul>
Ø	Organization Capacity	<ul> <li>Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified</li> <li>Resource Needs Identified</li> </ul>
$\mathbf{\nabla}$	Time Frame	Already Ongoing
		□ New Startup Date Estimated
		$\Box$ Lifetime of Strategy Identified
$\checkmark$	Fiscal Impact	☑ Impact on Operating Budget
		Impact on Capital Outlay
		Means of Finance Identified

STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM			
<b>Strategy II.1.4</b> Provide operational/technical support and intelligence to help solve			
crimes and apprehend criminals.			
Analysis	<ul> <li>Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted</li> <li>Other Analysis Used</li> <li>Impact on Other Strategies</li> <li>Considered</li> </ul>		
☑ Authorization	$\blacksquare$ Authorization Exists		
	Authorization Needed		
Organization Capacity	<ul> <li>Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified</li> <li>Resource Needs Identified</li> </ul>		
☑ Time Frame	☑ Already Ongoing		
	New Startup Date Estimated		
	□ Lifetime of Strategy Identified		
☑ Fiscal Impact	Impact on Operating Budget		
	Impact on Capital Outlay		
	□ Means of Finance Identified		

	STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST				
	OPERATIONAL SUPPORT PROGRAM				
St	rategy I.1.1 Maintain an internal Quality A	Assu	irance Unit.		
V	Analysis		Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted Other Analysis Used Impact on Other Strategies Considered		
			impact on other strategies considered		
$\checkmark$	Authorization	$\checkmark$	Authorization Exists		
			Authorization Needed		
Ø	Organization Capacity	Ø	Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified Resource Needs Identified		
	Time Frame		Already Ongoing New Startup Date Estimated Lifetime of Strategy Identified		
	Fiscal Impact		Impact on Operating Budget Impact on Capital Outlay Means of Finance Identified		

	STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST OPERATIONAL SUPPORT PROGRAM			
Strateg	y <b>I.1.2</b> Maintain compliance with req	_		
	nalysis	20	Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted Other Analysis Used Impact on Other Strategies nsidered	
Δ Αι	uthorization		Authorization Exists Authorization Needed	
Org	ganization Capacity		Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified Resource Needs Identified	
🗹 Tin	me Frame		Already Ongoing New Startup Date Estimated Lifetime of Strategy Identified	
☑ Fis	scal Impact		Impact on Operating Budget Impact on Capital Outlay Means of Finance Identified	

	STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST				
	OPERATIONAL SUPPORT PROGRAM				
		<b>-</b>	ed Federal Quality Assurance Standards		
for	DNA Forensic and CODIS Database test	ing			
S	Analysis	□ ☑ ☑ Co	Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted Other Analysis Used Impact on Other Strategies nsidered		
$\checkmark$	Authorization	K	Authorization Exists		
			Authorization Needed		
Z	Organization Capacity		Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified Resource Needs Identified		
$\checkmark$	Time Frame	$\mathbf{\nabla}$	Already Ongoing		
1			New Startup Date Estimated		
			Lifetime of Strategy Identified		
$\checkmark$	Fiscal Impact	$\checkmark$	Impact on Operating Budget		
			Impact on Capital Outlay		
			Means of Finance Identified		

	STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST					
	OPERATIONAL SUPPORT PROGRAM					
	ategy I.1.4 Monitor general lab work					
	ectives, but over which the Lab has little	to no	o control.			
$\checkmark$	Analysis		Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted			
		$\checkmark$	Other Analysis Used			
			Impact on Other Strategies Considered			
$\checkmark$	Authorization	Z	Authorization Exists			
_						
			Authorization Needed			
$\checkmark$	Organization Capacity		Needed Structural or Procedural			
	0 1 5		Change(s) Identified			
		$\checkmark$	Resource Needs Identified			
		L.	Resource freeds fuertified			
$\checkmark$	Time Frame	$\mathbf{\nabla}$	Already Ongoing			
			New Startup Date Estimated			
			Lifetime of Strategy Identified			
$\leq$	Fiscal Impact	К	Impact on Operating Budget			
			Impact on Capital Outlay			
			Means of Finance Identified			

STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST OPERATIONAL SUPPORT PROGRAM			
Strategy I.2.1: Increase operating space			
Analysis	<ul> <li>Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted</li> <li>Other Analysis Used</li> <li>Impact on Other Strategies</li> <li>Considered</li> </ul>		
☑ Authorization	<ul><li>Authorization Exists</li><li>Authorization Needed</li></ul>		
Organization Capacity	<ul> <li>Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified</li> <li>Resource Needs Identified</li> </ul>		
☑ Time Frame	<ul> <li>Already Ongoing</li> <li>New Startup Date Estimated</li> <li>Lifetime of Strategy Identified</li> </ul>		
☑ Fiscal Impact	<ul> <li>Impact on Operating Budget</li> <li>Impact on Capital Outlay</li> <li>Means of Finance Identified</li> </ul>		

	STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST					
	OPERATIONAL SUPPORT PROGRAM					
Stra	ategy I.2.2 Increase number of personnel	el.				
Ø	Analysis	<ul><li>Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted</li><li>Other Analysis Used</li></ul>				
		□ Impact on Other Strategies Considered				
$\checkmark$	Authorization	☑ Authorization Exists				
		□ Authorization Needed				
$\square$	Organization Capacity	<ul> <li>Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified</li> </ul>				
		□ Resource Needs Identified				
$\checkmark$	Time Frame	☑ Already Ongoing				
		□ New Startup Date Estimated				
		□ Lifetime of Strategy Identified				
$\checkmark$	Fiscal Impact	☑ Impact on Operating Budget				
		Impact on Capital Outlay				
		□ Means of Finance Identified				

	STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST				
	OPERATIONAL SUPPORT PROGRAM				
Stra	ategy I.2.3 Streamline processes to impro	ve e	fficiency.		
	Analysis		Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted Other Analysis Used Impact on Other Strategies Considered		
			impact on other strategies considered		
$\checkmark$	Authorization	$\checkmark$	Authorization Exists		
			Authorization Needed		
$\checkmark$	Organization Capacity	A	Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified		
			Resource Needs Identified		
$\checkmark$	Time Frame	К	Already Ongoing		
			New Startup Date Estimated		
			Lifetime of Strategy Identified		
$\checkmark$	Fiscal Impact	$\checkmark$	Impact on Operating Budget		
			Impact on Capital Outlay		
			Means of Finance Identified		

STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST OPERATIONAL SUPPORT PROGRAM				
Strategy I.2.4 Apply emerging technology	to improve efficiency.			
☑ Analysis	<ul> <li>Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted</li> <li>Other Analysis Used</li> <li>Impact on Other Strategies</li> <li>Considered</li> </ul>			
Authorization	<ul><li>Authorization Exists</li><li>Authorization Needed</li></ul>			
Organization Capacity	<ul> <li>Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified</li> <li>Resource Needs Identified</li> </ul>			
☑ Time Frame	<ul> <li>Already Ongoing</li> <li>New Startup Date Estimated</li> <li>Lifetime of Strategy Identified</li> </ul>			
Fiscal Impact	<ul> <li>Impact on Operating Budget</li> <li>Impact on Capital Outlay</li> <li>Means of Finance Identified</li> </ul>			

STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST					
OPERATIONAL SUPPORT PROGRAM					
		sis to law enforcement agencies to assist			
in investigations of criminal	activity.				
Analysis		Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted Other Analysis Used Impact on Other Strategies onsidered			
Authorization	$\checkmark$	Authorization Exists			
		Authorization Needed			
Organization Capacity		Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified Resource Needs Identified			
☑ Time Frame	$\checkmark$	Already Ongoing			
		New Startup Date Estimated			
		Lifetime of Strategy Identified			
Fiscal Impact	$\checkmark$	Impact on Operating Budget			
		Impact on Capital Outlay			
		Means of Finance Identified			

	STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST			
	OPERATIONAL SU			
	••	-	ate methods, policies, protocols, best	
-	ctices, and efficiency processes to red		-	
	lysis without sacrificing the quality of th	e wo	ork product.	
$\checkmark$	Analysis		Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted	
		$\checkmark$	Other Analysis Used	
			Impact on Other Strategies Considered	
$\checkmark$	Authorization	$\checkmark$	Authorization Exists	
			Authorization Needed	
$\checkmark$	Organization Capacity	$\checkmark$	Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified	
			Resource Needs Identified	
$\checkmark$	Time Frame	K	Already Ongoing	
			New Startup Date Estimated	
			Lifetime of Strategy Identified	
$\checkmark$	Fiscal Impact	K	Impact on Operating Budget	
			Impact on Capital Outlay	
			Means of Finance Identified	

	STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST					
	OPERATIONAL SUPPORT PROGRAM					
Stra	ategy I.4.1 The Bureau of Criminal Iden	ntific	cation and Information will implement			
elec	tronic disposition reporting.					
S	Analysis	□ ☑ ☑ Coi	Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted Other Analysis Used Impact on Other Strategies nsidered			
$\checkmark$	Authorization	К	Authorization Exists			
			Authorization Needed			
Ø	Organization Capacity		Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified Resource Needs Identified			
Ø	Time Frame		Already Ongoing New Startup Date Estimated Lifetime of Strategy Identified			
Z	Fiscal Impact	2	Impact on Operating Budget Impact on Capital Outlay Means of Finance Identified			

	STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST OPERATIONAL SUPPORT PROGRAM				
Stra	<b>itegy I.4.2</b> Continue to participate in the	e Interstate Identification Index			
Ŋ	Analysis	<ul> <li>Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted</li> <li>Other Analysis Used</li> <li>Impact on Other Strategies</li> <li>Considered</li> </ul>			
$\leq$	Authorization	Authorization Exists			
		□ Authorization Needed			
Ø	Organization Capacity	<ul> <li>Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified</li> <li>Resource Needs Identified</li> </ul>			
$\checkmark$	Time Frame	☑ Already Ongoing			
		New Startup Date Estimated			
		□ Lifetime of Strategy Identified			
Ŋ	Fiscal Impact	<ul> <li>Impact on Operating Budget</li> <li>Impact on Capital Outlay</li> <li>Means of Finance Identified</li> </ul>			

	STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST					
	OPERATIONAL SUPPORT PROGRAM					
Stra	ategy I.5.1 Evaluate and develop new me	etho	ls for more efficient processing.			
$\checkmark$	Analysis		Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted Other Analysis Used			
			Impact on Other Strategies Considered			
$\checkmark$	Authorization	Ν	Authorization Exists			
			Authorization Needed			
$\checkmark$	Organization Capacity	$\checkmark$	Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified			
			Resource Needs Identified			
$\checkmark$	Time Frame	K	Already Ongoing			
			New Startup Date Estimated			
			Lifetime of Strategy Identified			
$\checkmark$	Fiscal Impact	$\leq$	Impact on Operating Budget			
			Impact on Capital Outlay			
			Means of Finance Identified			

	STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST					
	OPERATIONAL SUPPORT PROGRAM					
Stra	ategy I.5.2 Develop a new Applicant Trac	cking	g system.			
V	Analysis		Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted Other Analysis Used			
			Impact on Other Strategies Considered			
$\checkmark$	Authorization	К	Authorization Exists			
			Authorization Needed			
$\checkmark$	Organization Capacity	$\checkmark$	Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified			
			Resource Needs Identified			
$\checkmark$	Time Frame	K	Already Ongoing			
			New Startup Date Estimated			
			Lifetime of Strategy Identified			
$\checkmark$	Fiscal Impact	$\checkmark$	Impact on Operating Budget			
			Impact on Capital Outlay			
			Means of Finance Identified			

	STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST OPERATIONAL SUPPORT PROGRAM				
Strate	Strategy I.5.3 Identify and implement new technologies.				
	Analysis	□ ☑ ☑ Cor	Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted Other Analysis Used Impact on Other Strategies nsidered		
Ø	Authorization		Authorization Exists Authorization Needed		
	Organization Capacity		Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified Resource Needs Identified		
Ø	Time Frame	[ ]	Already Ongoing New Startup Date Estimated Lifetime of Strategy Identified		
Ø	Fiscal Impact		Impact on Operating Budget Impact on Capital Outlay Means of Finance Identified		

	STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST			
	OPERATIONAL SUPPORT PROGRAM			
	Strategy I.6.1 Assist with the identification of criminal justice and public functional			
	ds for the Sex Offender Registry.			
S	Analysis	<ul> <li>Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted</li> <li>Other Analysis Used</li> <li>Impact on Other Strategies</li> <li>Considered</li> </ul>		
$\checkmark$	Authorization	$\blacksquare$ Authorization Exists		
		□ Authorization Needed		
Z	Organization Capacity	<ul> <li>Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified</li> <li>Resource Needs Identified</li> </ul>		
$\checkmark$	Time Frame	☑ Already Ongoing		
		New Startup Date Estimated		
		□ Lifetime of Strategy Identified		
Z	Fiscal Impact	<ul> <li>Impact on Operating Budget</li> <li>Impact on Capital Outlay</li> <li>Means of Finance Identified</li> </ul>		

	STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST				
Stra	OPERATIONAL SUPPORT PROGRAM Strategy I.6.2 Assist with the management, development, and implementation of the				
	CPR system.	, development, and implementation of the			
V	Analysis	<ul> <li>Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted</li> <li>Other Analysis Used</li> <li>Impact on Other Strategies</li> <li>Considered</li> </ul>			
$\checkmark$	Authorization	☑ Authorization Exists			
		□ Authorization Needed			
R	Organization Capacity	<ul> <li>□ Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified</li> <li>☑ Resource Needs Identified</li> </ul>			
$\checkmark$	Time Frame	☑ Already Ongoing			
		□ New Startup Date Estimated			
		□ Lifetime of Strategy Identified			
Ŋ	Fiscal Impact	<ul> <li>Impact on Operating Budget</li> <li>Impact on Capital Outlay</li> <li>Means of Finance Identified</li> </ul>			

STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST			
OPERATIONAL SUPPORT PROGRAM			
	ss through holding meetings with state		
employees, public and private agencies, and	1 neighborhood associations.		
Analysis	Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted		
	$\blacksquare$ Other Analysis Used		
	Impact on Other Strategies		
	Considered		
Authorization	$\blacksquare$ Authorization Exists		
	Authorization Needed		
Organization Capacity	Needed Structural or Procedural		
	Change(s) Identified		
	Resource Needs Identified		
☑ Time Frame	Already Ongoing		
	New Startup Date Estimated		
	□ Lifetime of Strategy Identified		
☑ Fiscal Impact	☑ Impact on Operating Budget		
	□ Impact on Capital Outlay		
	Means of Finance Identified		

	STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST OPERATIONAL SUPPORT PROGRAM				
Stra	Strategy II.1.2 Conduct proactive enforcement of state statutes throughout the State				
	bitol Complex.				
	Analysis	□ ☑ ☑ Co	Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted Other Analysis Used Impact on Other Strategies nsidered		
$\checkmark$	Authorization	K	Authorization Exists		
			Authorization Needed		
$\checkmark$	Organization Capacity	<b>1</b>	Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified Resource Needs Identified		
$\checkmark$	Time Frame	$\checkmark$	Already Ongoing		
			New Startup Date Estimated		
			Lifetime of Strategy Identified		
$\leq$	Fiscal Impact	K	Impact on Operating Budget		
			Impact on Capital Outlay		
			Means of Finance Identified		

	STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST			
	OPERATIONAL SUPPORT PROGRAM			
Stra	Strategy III.1.1 Conduct and coordinate existing, proven public safety awareness and			
edu	cation programs that promote public saf	ety.		
$\square$	Analysis	Z	Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted Other Analysis Used Impact on Other Strategies	
		_	nsidered	
		CO.	IISIdered	
$\checkmark$	Authorization	K	Authorization Exists	
			Authorization Needed	
$\checkmark$	Organization Capacity		Needed Structural or Procedural	
			Change(s) Identified	
		$\triangleleft$	Resource Needs Identified	
$\checkmark$	Time Frame	$\checkmark$	Already Ongoing	
			New Startup Date Estimated	
			Lifetime of Strategy Identified	
$\checkmark$	Fiscal Impact	V	Impact on Operating Budget	
			Impact on Capital Outlay	
		$\checkmark$	Means of Finance Identified	

STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST				
OPERATIONAL SUPPORT PROGRAM				
Strategy III.1.2 Continue to promote and expand the child safety seat installation				
program throughout the state.				
☑ Analysis	Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted			
	$\blacksquare$ Other Analysis Used			
	Impact on Other Strategies			
	Considered			
Authorization	$\blacksquare$ Authorization Exists			
	□ Authorization Needed			
Organization Capacity	Needed Structural or Procedural			
	Change(s) Identified			
	Resource Needs Identified			
☑ Time Frame	Already Ongoing			
	New Startup Date Estimated			
	Lifetime of Strategy Identified			
☑ Fiscal Impact	Impact on Operating Budget			
	Impact on Capital Outlay			
	Means of Finance Identified			

	STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST				
	OPERATIONAL SUPPORT PROGRAM				
	Strategy III.1.3 Provide strategic planning, grant oversight and management, and				
	earch for the command staff annually.				
$\checkmark$	Analysis		Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted		
		$\checkmark$	Other Analysis Used		
		$\checkmark$	Impact on Other Strategies		
		Co	nsidered		
$\checkmark$	Authorization	$\checkmark$	Authorization Exists		
			Authorization Needed		
$\checkmark$	Organization Capacity		Needed Structural or Procedural		
			Change(s) Identified		
		$\checkmark$	Resource Needs Identified		
$\checkmark$	Time Frame	$\checkmark$	Already Ongoing		
			New Startup Date Estimated		
			Lifetime of Strategy Identified		
			0,		
$\checkmark$	Fiscal Impact	K	Impact on Operating Budget		
			Impact on Capital Outlay		
		$\checkmark$	Means of Finance Identified		

STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST OPERATIONAL SUPPORT PROGRAM				
Strategy III.1.4 Update departmental policy and procedure as needed.				
Analysis			Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted Other Analysis Used Impact on Other Strategies nsidered	
☑ Authorization			Authorization Exists Authorization Needed	
Organization C	apacity		Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified Resource Needs Identified	
☑ Time Frame			Already Ongoing New Startup Date Estimated Lifetime of Strategy Identified	
□ Fiscal Impact			Impact on Operating Budget Impact on Capital Outlay Means of Finance Identified	

STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST		
OPERATIONAL SU	JPPORT PROGRAM	
	and command-directed research for the	
department.	1	
Analysis	Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted	
	Other Analysis Used	
	Impact on Other Strategies	
	Considered	
Authorization	$\square$ Authorization Exists	
	Authorization Needed	
Organization Capacity	Needed Structural or Procedural	
	_ Change(s) Identified	
	Resource Needs Identified	
☑ Time Frame	✓ Already Ongoing	
	New Startup Date Estimated	
	□ Lifetime of Strategy Identified	
□ Fiscal Impact	Impact on Operating Budget	
1	□ Impact on Capital Outlay	
	Means of Finance Identified	

STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST
OPERATIONAL SUPPORT PROGRAM

<b>Strategy III.1.6</b> Manage grants within Superintendent.	the department as directed by the
Analysis	<ul> <li>□ Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted</li> <li>□ Other Analysis Used</li> <li>☑ Impact on Other Strategies Considered</li> </ul>
☑ Authorization	<ul><li>Authorization Exists</li><li>Authorization Needed</li></ul>
Organization Capacity	<ul> <li>Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified</li> <li>Resource Needs Identified</li> </ul>
☑ Time Frame	<ul> <li>Already Ongoing</li> <li>New Startup Date Estimated</li> <li>Lifetime of Strategy Identified</li> </ul>
☑ Fiscal Impact	<ul> <li>Impact on Operating Budget</li> <li>Impact on Capital Outlay</li> <li>Means of Finance Identified</li> </ul>

	Strategy III.1.7 Coordinate with Management and Finance on all aspects of strategic				
pia	inning and budgeting.				
$\checkmark$	Analysis		Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted		
			Other Analysis Used		
		$\checkmark$	Impact on Other Strategies Considered		
$\checkmark$	Authorization	$\triangleleft$	Authorization Exists		
			Authorization Needed		
$\checkmark$	Organization Capacity		Needed Structural or Procedural		
			Change(s) Identified		
		$\square$	Resource Needs Identified		
$\leq$	Time Frame	$\leq$	Already Ongoing		
			New Startup Date Estimated		
			Lifetime of Strategy Identified		
$\checkmark$	Fiscal Impact	$\checkmark$	Impact on Operating Budget		
			Impact on Capital Outlay		
			Means of Finance Identified		
1					

**Strategy IV.1.1** Continued development of statewide LWIN Radio System using 700/800 MHz technology for voice communication that can be utilized by emergency services agencies by June 30, 2031.

rees agencies by June 50, 2001.		
Analysis		Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted Other Analysis Used Impact on Other Strategies Considered
Authorization	$\checkmark$	Authorization Exists
		Authorization Needed
Organization Capacity		Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified Resource Needs Identified
Time Frame	K	Already Ongoing
		New Startup Date Estimated
		Lifetime of Strategy Identified
Fiscal Impact	N	Impact on Operating Budget
		Impact on Capital Outlay
		Means of Finance Identified
	Analysis Authorization Organization Capacity Time Frame	Analysis

**Strategy IV.1.2** Provide critical infrastructure access for voice, data and images during emergencies to first responders by incorporating additional system capacity utilizing tactical transportable equipment.

uuc	dear dansportable equipment.	
Ø	Analysis	Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted Other Analysis Used Impact on Other Strategies Considered
Ø	Authorization	Authorization Exists Authorization Needed
Ø	Organization Capacity	Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified Resource Needs Identified
Ø	Time Frame	Already Ongoing New Startup Date Estimated Lifetime of Strategy Identified
Ø	Fiscal Impact	Impact on Operating Budget Impact on Capital Outlay Means of Finance Identified

**Strategy IV.1.3** Establish a fail-over backhaul system to increase reliability and support communication and to provide optical maintenance needs during state or national emergencies by utilizing satellite, and available state-owned and private fiber to link wireless sites.

Z	Analysis		Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted Other Analysis Used Impact on Other Strategies Considered
Ŋ	Authorization		Authorization Exists Authorization Needed
Ŋ	Organization Capacity	□ ☑	Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified Resource Needs Identified
Z	Time Frame		Already Ongoing New Startup Date Estimated Lifetime of Strategy Identified
S	Fiscal Impact		Impact on Operating Budget Impact on Capital Outlay Means of Finance Identified

STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST				
OPERATIONAL SU	JPPORT PROGRAM			
<b>Strategy IV.1.4</b> Identify existing and communication capabilities statewide.	emerging technologies that will enhance			
☑ Analysis	<ul> <li>Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted</li> <li>Other Analysis Used</li> <li>Impact on Other Strategies</li> <li>Considered</li> </ul>			
☑ Authorization	<ul><li>Authorization Exists</li><li>Authorization Needed</li></ul>			
Organization Capacity	<ul> <li>□ Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified</li> <li>☑ Resource Needs Identified</li> </ul>			
☑ Time Frame	Already Ongoing			
	New Startup Date Estimated			
	□ Lifetime of Strategy Identified			
☑ Fiscal Impact	Impact on Operating Budget			
	□ Impact on Capital Outlay			
	Means of Finance Identified			

**Strategy IV.1.5** Participate in the Statewide Interoperable Communications Plan to coordinate, plan, and implement an interoperable communications environment throughout the state for first responders and other stakeholders.

un	oughout the state for first responders and		
Ø	Analysis		Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted Other Analysis Used Impact on Other Strategies Considered
$\checkmark$	Authorization	$\checkmark$	Authorization Exists
			Authorization Needed
ß	Organization Capacity		Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified Resource Needs Identified
$\leq$	Time Frame	К	Already Ongoing
			New Startup Date Estimated
			Lifetime of Strategy Identified
V	Fiscal Impact	К	Impact on Operating Budget
	•		Impact on Capital Outlay
			Means of Finance Identified
1		I	

<b>Strategy IV.1.6</b> Increase voice interoperability between Louisiana State Police and local law enforcement.					
	Analysis	<ul> <li>Cost Benefit Analysis Conducte</li> <li>Other Analysis Used</li> <li>Impact on Other Strategies Cor</li> </ul>			
Ø	Authorization	<ul><li>Authorization Exists</li><li>Authorization Needed</li></ul>			
Ø	Organization Capacity	<ul> <li>Needed Structural or Procedur Change(s) Identified</li> <li>Resource Needs Identified</li> </ul>	al		
Ø	Time Frame	<ul> <li>Already Ongoing</li> <li>New Startup Date Estimated</li> <li>Lifetime of Strategy Identified</li> </ul>			
Ø	Fiscal Impact	<ul> <li>Impact on Operating Budget</li> <li>Impact on Capital Outlay</li> <li>Means of Finance Identified</li> </ul>			

STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST							
	OPERATIONAL SUPPORT PROGRAM						
Strategy IV.1.7 R	Research,	design,	and	de	ploy	comprehensiv	ve interoperability
technology.							
☑ Analysis					Cost	Benefit Analys	sis Conducted
					Othe	r Analysis Use	ed
				$\checkmark$	Impa	act on Other St	rategies Considered
					-		C C
☑ Authorization				$\checkmark$	Auth	orization Exist	ts
					Auth	orization Need	ded
Organization Ca	apacity				Need	led Structural	or Procedural
					Char	nge(s) Identifie	d
				$\checkmark$	Reso	urce Needs Ide	entified
Time Frame				$\checkmark$	Alrea	ady Ongoing	
					New	Startup Date I	Estimated
					Lifet	ime of Strategy	/ Identified
						0,	
☑ Fiscal Impact				$\checkmark$	Impa	act on Operatir	ng Budget
					Impa	act on Capital (	Outlay
					Mea	ns of Finance Io	dentified
					-		

**Strategy IV.1.8** Create a robust and redundant system infrastructure available for use by authorized Federal, State, and Tribal agencies, as well as local entities that have eligibility in the Public Safety Radio Pool as described in Federal Communications Commission Rules and Regulations (47 CFR-Part 90), to provide wireless transmission of voice, data, and critical imagery and connection to the public switched telephone network and mobile telephones networks.

$\checkmark$	Analysis		Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted
			Other Analysis Used
		$\checkmark$	Impact on Other Strategies Considered
$\checkmark$	Authorization	V	Authorization Exists
			Authorization Needed
$\checkmark$	Organization Capacity		Needed Structural or Procedural
			Change(s) Identified Resource Needs Identified
		$\checkmark$	Resource Needs Identified
$\checkmark$	Time Frame	K	Already Ongoing
			New Startup Date Estimated
			Lifetime of Strategy Identified
$\checkmark$	Fiscal Impact	V	Impact on Operating Budget
	1.		Impact on Capital Outlay
			Means of Finance Identified

**Strategy V.1.1.** Training Academy staff will identify courses that will enhance the professional growth of law enforcement officers and enable them to perform their duties in an effective and efficient manner.

uu	des in an effective and efficient mariner.	
Ø	Analysis	Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted Other Analysis Used Impact on Other Strategies Considered
Z	Authorization	Authorization Exists Authorization Needed
Ø	Organization Capacity	Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified Resource Needs Identified
Ø	Time Frame	Already Ongoing New Startup Date Estimated Lifetime of Strategy Identified
Ø	Fiscal Impact	Impact on Operating Budget Impact on Capital Outlay Means of Finance Identified

	STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST					
	OPERATIONAL SUPPORT PROGRAM					
Ct.	atom V12 Designated staff will star	in	formed of the various courses of law			
	<b>ategy V.1.2.</b> Designated staff will stay forcement training that may be available t					
	Analysis					
			Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted			
			Other Analysis Used			
		$\checkmark$	Impact on Other Strategies Considered			
$\checkmark$	Authorization	$\checkmark$	Authorization Exists			
			Authorization Needed			
$\checkmark$	Organization Capacity		Needed Structural or Procedural			
			Change(s) Identified			
		$\checkmark$	Resource Needs Identified			
$\checkmark$	Time Frame		Alwas day Ora gain a			
Υ	Time Frame	$\triangleleft$	Already Ongoing			
			New Startup Date Estimated			
			Lifetime of Strategy Identified			
$\checkmark$	Fiscal Impact	$\checkmark$	Impact on Operating Budget			
			Impact on Capital Outlay			
			Means of Finance Identified			

	STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST OPERATIONAL SUPPORT PROGRAM					
	Strategy V.1.3. Results of feedback from various conferences, seminars and after-action					
rep	ports will be evaluated to determine the tr Analysis					
÷	T that y SIS		Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted			
			Other Analysis Used			
		$\checkmark$	Impact on Other Strategies Considered			
$\checkmark$	Authorization	V	Authorization Exists			
			Authorization Needed			
$\checkmark$	Organization Capacity		Needed Structural or Procedural			
			Change(s) Identified			
		$\checkmark$	Resource Needs Identified			
$\checkmark$	Time Frame	$\checkmark$	Already Ongoing			
			New Startup Date Estimated			
			Lifetime of Strategy Identified			
$\checkmark$	Fiscal Impact	$\checkmark$	Impact on Operating Budget			
			Impact on Capital Outlay			
			Means of Finance Identified			

**Strategy VI.1.1.** Designated staff personnel shall test, screen and identify potential applicants considered eligible to enter the Louisiana State Police training academy as Cadets.

Ø	Analysis		Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted Other Analysis Used Impact on Other Strategies Considered
$\checkmark$	Authorization	К	Authorization Exists
			Authorization Needed
$\checkmark$	Organization Capacity		Needed Structural or Procedural
			Change(s) Identified
		$\checkmark$	Resource Needs Identified
$\checkmark$	Time Frame	K	Already Ongoing
			New Startup Date Estimated
			Lifetime of Strategy Identified
$\checkmark$	Fiscal Impact	$\checkmark$	Impact on Operating Budget
	-		Impact on Capital Outlay
			Means of Finance Identified

STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST OPERATIONAL SUPPORT PROGRAM			
<b>Strategy VII.1.1.</b> Maintain and oversee the Body-Worn Camera program.			
V	Analysis		Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted
		V	Other Analysis Used Impact on Other Strategies Considered
$\checkmark$	Authorization	$\mathbf{\nabla}$	Authorization Exists
			Authorization Needed
Ø	Organization Capacity		Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified Resource Needs Identified
$\mathbf{i}$	Time Frame	$\checkmark$	Already Ongoing
			New Startup Date Estimated
			Lifetime of Strategy Identified
$\checkmark$	Fiscal Impact	K	Impact on Operating Budget
			Impact on Capital Outlay
			Means of Finance Identified

	STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST OPERATIONAL SUPPORT PROGRAM				
	OF ERATIONAL SUITORT I ROGRAM				
Str	ategy VII.1.2 Fully maintain the e-citation	n pr	ogram.		
$\checkmark$	Analysis		Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted		
			Other Analysis Used		
		$\checkmark$	Impact on Other Strategies Considered		
$\checkmark$	Authorization	$\checkmark$	Authorization Exists		
			Authorization Needed		
Ø	Organization Capacity		Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified Resource Needs Identified		
$\triangleleft$	Time Frame	K	Already Ongoing		
			New Startup Date Estimated		
			Lifetime of Strategy Identified		
$\triangleleft$	Fiscal Impact	K	Impact on Operating Budget		
			Impact on Capital Outlay		
			Means of Finance Identified		

	STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST OPERATIONAL SUPPORT PROGRAM			
Str	ategy VII.1.3 Fully support Mark 43 CAI	D/R	MS	
Ŋ	Analysis		Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted Other Analysis Used Impact on Other Strategies Considered	
$\leq$	Authorization	K	Authorization Exists	
			Authorization Needed	
Ø	Organization Capacity		Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified Resource Needs Identified	
$\checkmark$	Time Frame	K	Already Ongoing	
			New Startup Date Estimated	
			Lifetime of Strategy Identified	
$\checkmark$	Fiscal Impact	К	Impact on Operating Budget	
			Impact on Capital Outlay	
			Means of Finance Identified	

	STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST OPERATIONAL SUPPORT PROGRAM			
	<b>ategy VII.1.4</b> Manage the LSP Property ministration rules and guidance.	Inv	ventory in conjunction with Division of	
Ø	Analysis		Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted Other Analysis Used Impact on Other Strategies Considered	
$\leq$	Authorization	K	Authorization Exists	
			Authorization Needed	
Ø	Organization Capacity		Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified Resource Needs Identified	
$\leq$	Time Frame	К	Already Ongoing	
			New Startup Date Estimated	
			Lifetime of Strategy Identified	
$\triangleleft$	Fiscal Impact	К	Impact on Operating Budget	
			Impact on Capital Outlay	
			Means of Finance Identified	

ſ

#### STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST OPERATIONAL SUPPORT PROGRAM

**Strategy VII.1.5** Manage the assignment and return of computer equipment and cell phones; process returned items for data retention in accordance with state law and applicable DPS policies.

app	Sheable Di 5 poneles.	
R	Analysis	Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted Other Analysis Used Impact on Other Strategies Considered
Ŋ	Authorization	Authorization Exists Authorization Needed
ß	Organization Capacity	Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified Resource Needs Identified
Ø	Time Frame	Already Ongoing New Startup Date Estimated Lifetime of Strategy Identified
Ø	Fiscal Impact	Impact on Operating Budget Impact on Capital Outlay Means of Finance Identified

	STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST			
	GAMING ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM			
Str	rategy I.1.1 Conduct Video Draw Poker re	اسم	atory inspections	
		gui	atory hispections.	
¥	Analysis		Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted	
			Other Analysis Used	
		$\checkmark$	Impact on Other Strategies Considered	
$\checkmark$	Authorization	K	Authorization Exists	
			Authorization Needed	
			Autionzation Needed	
$\checkmark$	Organization Capacity		Needed Structural or Procedural	
			Change(s) Identified	
		$\checkmark$	Resource Needs Identified	
$\checkmark$	Time Frame	$\checkmark$	Already Ongoing	
			New Startup Date Estimated	
			Lifetime of Strategy Identified	
$\checkmark$	Fiscal Impact	$\checkmark$	Impact on Operating Budget	
			Impact on Capital Outlay	
			Means of Finance Identified	

	STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST			
	GAMING ENFORC	EMI	ENT PROGRAM	
Str	rategy I.1.2 Conduct underage compliance	e de	tails.	
$\checkmark$	Analysis		Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted	
			Other Analysis Used	
		$\checkmark$	Impact on Other Strategies Considered	
$\checkmark$	Authorization	K	Authorization Exists	
			Authorization Needed	
Ø	Organization Capacity	<b>D</b>	Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified Resource Needs Identified	
$\leq$	Time Frame	K	Already Ongoing	
			New Startup Date Estimated	
			Lifetime of Strategy Identified	
$\checkmark$	Fiscal Impact	$\checkmark$	Impact on Operating Budget	
	-		Impact on Capital Outlay	
			Means of Finance Identified	

**Strategy I.2.1** Annually review the Casino Compliance Inspection Plan and make updates as necessary to ensure all gaming operations comply with statutes, rules, and internal controls.

Ŋ	Analysis	<ul> <li>□ Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted</li> <li>□ Other Analysis Used</li> <li>☑ Impact on Other Strategies Considered</li> </ul>
Я	Authorization	<ul><li>Authorization Exists</li><li>Authorization Needed</li></ul>
Ø	Organization Capacity	<ul> <li>□ Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified</li> <li>☑ Resource Needs Identified</li> </ul>
Ø	Time Frame	<ul> <li>Already Ongoing</li> <li>New Startup Date Estimated</li> <li>Lifetime of Strategy Identified</li> </ul>
Ø	Fiscal Impact	<ul> <li>Impact on Operating Budget</li> <li>Impact on Capital Outlay</li> <li>Means of Finance Identified</li> </ul>

**Strategy I.2.2** Review all Gaming Revenue Summaries, reconcile the summaries to the source documents submitted by gaming operations, and verify receipt of gaming fees and taxes with Management & Finance and Louisiana Treasury.

anu	to taxes with Management & Finance and Louisiana Treasury.			
Ø	Analysis	<ul> <li>□ Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted</li> <li>□ Other Analysis Used</li> <li>☑ Impact on Other Strategies Considered</li> </ul>		
Ø	Authorization	<ul><li>Authorization Exists</li><li>Authorization Needed</li></ul>		
Ø	Organization Capacity	<ul> <li>Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified</li> <li>Resource Needs Identified</li> </ul>		
Ø	Time Frame	<ul> <li>Already Ongoing</li> <li>New Startup Date Estimated</li> <li>Lifetime of Strategy Identified</li> </ul>		
Ø	Fiscal Impact	<ul> <li>Impact on Operating Budget</li> <li>Impact on Capital Outlay</li> <li>Means of Finance Identified</li> </ul>		

	STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST		
	GAMING ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM		
	<b>tegy I.3.1</b> Transition all gaming ap ractive online format.	pplications from paper submission to an	
$\checkmark$	Analysis	Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted	
		Other Analysis Used	
		Impact on Other Strategies Considered	
$\checkmark$	Authorization	☑ Authorization Exists	
		□ Authorization Needed	
	Organization Consults		
$\checkmark$	Organization Capacity	Needed Structural or Procedural	
		Change(s) Identified Resource Needs Identified	
$\checkmark$	Time Frame	Already Ongoing	
		New Startup Date Estimated	
		□ Lifetime of Strategy Identified	
$\checkmark$	Fiscal Impact	✓ Impact on Operating Budget	
		$\square$ Impact on Capital Outlay	
		□ Means of Finance Identified	

	STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST			
	GAMING ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM			
			essing procedures and make updates as	
	essary to ensure all aspects of the applica	tior	n processing are efficient.	
$\checkmark$	Analysis		Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted	
			Other Analysis Used	
		$\checkmark$	Impact on Other Strategies Considered	
$\checkmark$	Authorization	$\leq$	Authorization Exists	
			Authorization Needed	
$\triangleleft$	Organization Capacity		Needed Structural or Procedural	
			Change(s) Identified	
		$\checkmark$	Resource Needs Identified	
$\leq$	Time Frame	К	Already Ongoing	
			New Startup Date Estimated	
			Lifetime of Strategy Identified	
$\checkmark$	Fiscal Impact	$\leq$	Impact on Operating Budget	
			Impact on Capital Outlay	
			Means of Finance Identified	

	STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST			
	GAMING ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM			
<u></u>	T 4 4 T 1 4	•	1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1	
		ing-	related criminal activity identified at	
	gaming locations within the state.			
🛛 Analy	7515		Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted	
			Other Analysis Used	
		$\checkmark$	Impact on Other Strategies Considered	
🗹 Auth	orization	$\leq$	Authorization Exists	
			Authorization Needed	
🗹 Orga	nization Capacity	Π	Needed Structural or Procedural	
			Change(s) Identified	
		$\checkmark$	Resource Needs Identified	
🛛 Time	Frame	$\leq$	Already Ongoing	
			New Startup Date Estimated	
			Lifetime of Strategy Identified	
			07	
🗹 Fisca	Impact	$\checkmark$	Impact on Operating Budget	
			Impact on Capital Outlay	
			Means of Finance Identified	
		_		

	STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST			
	GAMING ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM			
			gambling activity by analyzing current	
	s and intelligence throughout the state	and	gaming industry.	
$\square$	Analysis		Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted	
			Other Analysis Used	
		$\checkmark$	Impact on Other Strategies Considered	
	Authorization	$\mathbf{\nabla}$	Authorization Exists	
			Authorization Needed	
$\checkmark$	Organization Capacity		Needed Structural or Procedural	
			Change(s) Identified	
		$\checkmark$	Resource Needs Identified	
$\checkmark$	Time Frame	$\checkmark$	Already Ongoing	
			New Startup Date Estimated	
			Lifetime of Strategy Identified	
$\checkmark$	Fiscal Impact	$\checkmark$	Impact on Operating Budget	
			Impact on Capital Outlay	
			Means of Finance Identified	

	Strategy I.4.3 Provide training to Division personnel to enhance casino-related crime			
det	ection.			
$\checkmark$	Analysis		Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted	
			Other Analysis Used	
		$\square$	Impact on Other Strategies Considered	
$\checkmark$	Authorization	K	Authorization Exists	
			Authorization Needed	
$\checkmark$	Organization Capacity		Needed Structural or Procedural	
			Change(s) Identified	
		$\checkmark$	Resource Needs Identified	
$\checkmark$	Time Frame	$\checkmark$	Already Ongoing	
			New Startup Date Estimated	
			Lifetime of Strategy Identified	
$\checkmark$	Fiscal Impact	S	Impact on Operating Budget	
			Impact on Capital Outlay	
			Means of Finance Identified	

STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST GAMING ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM			
<b>Strategy II.1.1</b> Verify changes made implementation.	to slot systems are approved prior to		
Analysis	<ul> <li>Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted</li> <li>Other Analysis Used</li> <li>Impact on Other Strategies Considered</li> </ul>		
☑ Authorization	<ul><li>Authorization Exists</li><li>Authorization Needed</li></ul>		
Organization Capacity	<ul> <li>Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified</li> <li>Resource Needs Identified</li> </ul>		
☑ Time Frame	<ul> <li>Already Ongoing</li> <li>New Startup Date Estimated</li> <li>Lifetime of Strategy Identified</li> </ul>		
☑ Fiscal Impact	<ul> <li>Impact on Operating Budget</li> <li>Impact on Capital Outlay</li> <li>Means of Finance Identified</li> </ul>		

## 

**Strategy II.1.2** Monitor the status of software as recommended by a designated testing laboratory

	Analysis	<ul> <li>□ Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted</li> <li>□ Other Analysis Used</li> <li>☑ Impact on Other Strategies Considered</li> </ul>
Ø	Authorization	<ul><li>Authorization Exists</li><li>Authorization Needed</li></ul>
Ø	Organization Capacity	<ul> <li>Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified</li> <li>Resource Needs Identified</li> </ul>
Ø	Time Frame	<ul> <li>Already Ongoing</li> <li>New Startup Date Estimated</li> <li>Lifetime of Strategy Identified</li> </ul>
Ø	Fiscal Impact	<ul> <li>Impact on Operating Budget</li> <li>Impact on Capital Outlay</li> <li>Means of Finance Identified</li> </ul>

STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST GAMING ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM			
Strat	egy II.1.3 Identify and replace problem	soft	ware.
R	Analysis		Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted
			Other Analysis Used
		$\checkmark$	Impact on Other Strategies Considered
$\checkmark$	Authorization	V	Authorization Exists
			Authorization Needed
Z	Organization Capacity		Needed Structural or Procedural
		$\checkmark$	Change(s) Identified Resource Needs Identified
		J	Resource Needs Identified
$\checkmark$	Time Frame	К	Already Ongoing
			New Startup Date Estimated
			Lifetime of Strategy Identified
	Fiscal Impact	V	Impact on Operating Budget
	i iocui impact		
			Impact on Capital Outlay
			Means of Finance Identified

	STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST GAMING ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM				
<u></u>					
	egy II.1.4 Perform inspections of electrons of electron vare is removed from gaming devices.	ctroi	nic gaming devices to ensure revoked		
	nalysis		Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted		
			Other Analysis Used		
		$\mathbf{\nabla}$	Impact on Other Strategies Considered		
☑ A	Authorization	$\checkmark$	Authorization Exists		
			Authorization Needed		
☑ C	Proprintian Conscitu				
	Organization Capacity		Needed Structural or Procedural		
		$\checkmark$	Change(s) Identified Resource Needs Identified		
		-			
☑ Т	'ime Frame	$\leq$	Already Ongoing		
			New Startup Date Estimated		
			Lifetime of Strategy Identified		
<b>☑</b> F:	iscal Impact	$\checkmark$	Impact on Operating Budget		
			Impact on Capital Outlay		
			Means of Finance Identified		

	STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST				
	GAMING ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM				
Str	Strategy II.2.1 Conduct state-wide warehouse inspections, determining machine and				
	rts compliance with applicable laws, rules				
V	Analysis		Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted		
			Other Analysis Used		
		$\checkmark$	Impact on Other Strategies Considered		
$\checkmark$	Authorization	$\checkmark$	Authorization Exists		
			Authorization Needed		
_					
$\checkmark$	Organization Capacity		Needed Structural or Procedural		
			Change(s) Identified		
		$\checkmark$	Resource Needs Identified		
	T				
$\checkmark$	Time Frame	$\checkmark$	Already Ongoing		
			New Startup Date Estimated		
			Lifetime of Strategy Identified		
$\checkmark$	Fiscal Impact	$\checkmark$	Impact on Operating Budget		
			Impact on Capital Outlay		
			Means of Finance Identified		

<b>Strategy II.2.2</b> Review and process new enrollment and location coordinated move submissions.			
☑ Analysis	<ul> <li>□ Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted</li> <li>□ Other Analysis Used</li> <li>☑ Impact on Other Strategies Considered</li> </ul>		
☑ Authorization	<ul><li>Authorization Exists</li><li>Authorization Needed</li></ul>		
Organization Capacity	<ul> <li>□ Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified</li> <li>☑ Resource Needs Identified</li> </ul>		
☑ Time Frame	<ul> <li>Already Ongoing</li> <li>New Startup Date Estimated</li> <li>Lifetime of Strategy Identified</li> </ul>		
☑ Fiscal Impact	<ul> <li>Impact on Operating Budget</li> <li>Impact on Capital Outlay</li> <li>Means of Finance Identified</li> </ul>		

**Strategy II.2.3** Verify that the game software signature matches the approved system software.

20100		
Ø	Analysis	<ul> <li>□ Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted</li> <li>□ Other Analysis Used</li> <li>☑ Impact on Other Strategies Considered</li> </ul>
Ø	Authorization	<ul><li>Authorization Exists</li><li>Authorization Needed</li></ul>
Ø	Organization Capacity	<ul> <li>Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified</li> <li>Resource Needs Identified</li> </ul>
Ø	Time Frame	<ul> <li>Already Ongoing</li> <li>New Startup Date Estimated</li> <li>Lifetime of Strategy Identified</li> </ul>
Ø	Fiscal Impact	<ul> <li>Impact on Operating Budget</li> <li>Impact on Capital Outlay</li> <li>Means of Finance Identified</li> </ul>

**Strategy II.2.4** Monitor the status of software as recommended by a designated testing laboratory.

incore,	atory:	
Ø	Analysis	<ul> <li>□ Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted</li> <li>□ Other Analysis Used</li> <li>☑ Impact on Other Strategies Considered</li> </ul>
S	Authorization	<ul><li>Authorization Exists</li><li>Authorization Needed</li></ul>
Ø	Organization Capacity	<ul> <li>Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified</li> <li>Resource Needs Identified</li> </ul>
Ø	Time Frame	<ul> <li>Already Ongoing</li> <li>New Startup Date Estimated</li> <li>Lifetime of Strategy Identified</li> </ul>
	Fiscal Impact	<ul> <li>Impact on Operating Budget</li> <li>Impact on Capital Outlay</li> <li>Means of Finance Identified</li> </ul>

	STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST TRAINING ACADEMY			
Stra	tegy II.2.5 Review variance reports and	reco	ncile with hard meters.	
Ø	Analysis		Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted Other Analysis Used Impact on Other Strategies Considered	
Ø	Authorization		Authorization Exists Authorization Needed	
Ø	Organization Capacity		Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified Resource Needs Identified	
Ø	Time Frame		Already Ongoing New Startup Date Estimated Lifetime of Strategy Identified	
Ø	Fiscal Impact		Impact on Operating Budget Impact on Capital Outlay Means of Finance Identified	

	STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST				
	TRAINING ACADEMY				
Stra	ategy II.2.6 Identify and replace problem	soft	ware.		
$\checkmark$	Analysis		Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted		
			Other Analysis Used		
		$\checkmark$	Impact on Other Strategies Considered		
$\checkmark$	Authorization	$\checkmark$	Authorization Exists		
4	Autionzation				
			Authorization Needed		
Ø	Organization Capacity		Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified		
		$\checkmark$	Resource Needs Identified		
$\checkmark$	Time Frame	$\mathbf{\nabla}$	Already Ongoing		
			New Startup Date Estimated		
			Lifetime of Strategy Identified		
$\checkmark$	Fiscal Impact	$\mathbf{\nabla}$	Impact on Operating Budget		
-	i iscui impuct		1 1 0 0		
			Impact on Capital Outlay		
			Means of Finance Identified		
I		1			

	STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST TRAINING ACADEMY			
Stra	ategy II.2.7 Ensure that revoked software	e is removed from the operation.		
V	Analysis	<ul> <li>Cost Benefit Analysis Conducted</li> <li>Other Analysis Used</li> <li>Impact on Other Strategies Considered</li> </ul>		
	Authorization	<ul><li>Authorization Exists</li><li>Authorization Needed</li></ul>		
Ŋ	Organization Capacity	<ul> <li>Needed Structural or Procedural Change(s) Identified</li> <li>Resource Needs Identified</li> </ul>		
Z	Time Frame	<ul> <li>Already Ongoing</li> <li>New Startup Date Estimated</li> <li>Lifetime of Strategy Identified</li> </ul>		
Z	Fiscal Impact	<ul> <li>Impact on Operating Budget</li> <li>Impact on Capital Outlay</li> <li>Means of Finance Identified</li> </ul>		

# Louisiana Strategic Plan Update FY 2026-2027 through FY 2030-2031 Top Five Performance Indicators

Instructions: Use the boxes below to identify the top five performance indicators that highlight the efforts to achieve the agency's vision, mission, and philosophy.

## **Department and Agency Name:**

**Performance Indicator 1** 

(Please identify the objective associated with this performance indicator)

Traffic Enforcement – Objective I.1 Reduce the number of traffic fatalities by 2% by June30, 2031.

1885 – Total number of contacts: crashes, tickets, and motorist assists

**Performance Indicator 2** 

(please identify the objective associated with this performance indicator)

Traffic Enforcement – Objective II.1 Reduce the number of fatal commercial motor vehicle-related crashes by 2% by June 30, 2031.

1894 – Number of motor carrier safety inspections conducted.

**Performance Indicator 3** 

(please identify the objective associated with this performance indicator)

Criminal Investigations – Objective: II.1 – Increase other agency assists by 2% by June 30, 2031.

21287 – Number of other agency assists.

**Performance Indicator 4** 

(please identify the objective associated with this performance indicator)

Operational Support – Objective I.2 – The Crime Laboratory will analyze 95% of total requests received for analysis through June 30, 2031.

6625 – Percentage of total lab requests analyzed.

**Performance Indicator 5** 

(please identify the objective associated with this performance indicator)

**Operational Support – III.1 - Through** the Operational Development and Public Affairs sections, under the direction of the Superintendent, to provide staff functions for the Superintendent and command staff and to provide public awareness and safety education to effectively promote public safety, annually, through June 30, 2031.

23537 – Number of safety / education presentations conducted.